

**BOROUGH OF POOLE**

**COUNCIL**

**15 NOVEMBER 2016**

The Meeting commenced at 7pm and finished at 8:10pm.

**Present**

Councillor Xena Dion (Mayor)

Councillor Ann Stribley (Deputy Mayor)

Councillor Lindsay Wilson (Sheriff)

Councillors: Adams, Ms Atkinson, Brooke, Brown, Burden, Mrs Butt, Eades, Farrell, Fisher, Gabriel, Garner-Watts, Gupta, Hadley, Mrs Haines, Mrs Hodges, Iyengar, Mellor, Mrs Moore, D Newell, Mrs J Newell, Parker, Pawlowski, Pope, Potter, J Rampton, Mrs K Rampton, Russell, Mrs Slade, Tindle, Trent, Mrs Walton, White, Williams and Wilson.

Members of the public in attendance: 4

C81.16 **PRAYERS**

Prayers were said.

C82.16 **APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE**

Apologies for absence were received from Councillors Bagwell, Challinor, Howell and Le Poidevin.

C83.16 **MINUTES**

**It was Moved, Seconded and RESOLVED:**

**That the Minutes of the last Meeting of the Council held on 27 September 2016, having been previously circulated, be taken as read, confirmed and signed by the Mayor as a correct record.**

C84.16 **DECLARATION OF DISCLOSABLE PECUNIARY INTEREST(S)**

There were no declarations of interest.

C85.16 **WELCOME TO COUNCILLOR MRS VIKKI SLADE, NEWLY ELECTED MEMBER FOR THE BROADSTONE WARD**

The Council joined with the Mayor in welcoming Councillor Mrs Slade back to the Council as a Ward Member for Broadstone, following her success in the 13 October 2016 by-election.

C86.16 MAYOR'S COMMUNICATIONS

The Mayor referred Members to her Communications, which outlined a number of engagements she had attended on behalf of the Borough since the last Meeting of the Council.

The Mayor also took the opportunity to request Members to respond to email communications from the Chief Executive and Democratic Services.

C87.16 PRESENTATION OF PETITIONS

(a) From Members of the Public

No petitions had been received.

(b) From Members

No petitions had been received.

C88.16 PUBLIC QUESTIONS TO COUNCIL

No questions had been received.

C89.16 BARRIER AT BRANKSOME RECREATION GROUND CAR PARK: REPORT BACK ON PETITION: REPORT OF THE CHAIRMAN OF THE PLACE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

Councillor Garner-Watts, The Chairman of the Place Overview and Scrutiny Committee, presented his Report to Council, the Recommendation of which was Moved and Seconded.

Councillor Garner-Watts advised that, at its Meeting on 8 November 2016, the Place Overview and Scrutiny Committee had considered the Petition presented to Council on 27 September 2016 by Mr Batten on behalf of residents, which requested the Council to ensure that the Car Park Barrier at Branksome Park continue to be locked each evening and re-opened the next morning to prevent problems that residents had experienced in the past, with vehicles congregating after dark and anti-social behaviour.

The background which had led to the Council no longer locking overnight the Car Park Barrier at Branksome Recreation Ground was outlined, gates were left unlocked overnight, and as part of a review of a number of open spaces across Poole, where there was no evidence of need and a potential saving by discontinuing the process.

This change had been introduced on a trial basis, and was to be reviewed after 12 months.

Councillor Garner-Watts also advised Council that the Committee had discussed alternative options for arranging for gate-locking and unlocking, including the potential for involvement for local residents or Community Groups.

One of the Ward Councillors informed Council that both he and his fellow Ward Councillors supported this Proposal, and had had no reports or experiences of anti-social behaviour in this area.

**It was Moved, Seconded and RESOLVED:**

**That the decision, not to lock Branksome Recreation Ground Car Park continue for the remainder of the 12 month trial period, subject to investigating the situation regarding the lease of the Pavilion building, and the possibility of a Community Group being willing to undertake the locking and unlocking**

C90.16 TOWN CENTRE RE-GENERATION – LEASE RESTRUCTURE OF DOLPHIN CENTRE, TOWNGATE SQUARE AND KINGLAND CRESCENT: REPORT OF THE PORTFOLIO HOLDER FOR PLANNING AND REGENERATION

Councillor Potter, The Portfolio Holder, introduced his Report the Recommendations of which were Moved and Seconded.

In introducing his Report, Councillor Potter referred to an Amendment he was proposing, a copy of which had been circulated to all Members and which was duly Seconded.

The Amendment, in the following terms:

“Inserting on the second line of recommendation to (j) after “Stamp Duty Land Tax” insert “and Value Added Tax payments (VAT) with both Her Majesty’s Revenue and Customs (HMRC) and LGP in advance of making a contractual commitment to LGP.”

To read:

“Achieve an agreed position on tax liabilities, in particular Stamp Duty Land Tax and Value Added Tax payments, with both Her Majesty’s Revenue and Customs (HMRC) and LGP in advance of making a contractual commitment to LGP”.

Members were supportive of the Amendment and, on being put to the Vote, it was CARRIED.

Councillor Potter informed Council that Cabinet, at its Meeting on 1 November 2016, had unanimously approved the Recommendations to Council pertaining to the lease restructure of the Dolphin Centre, Towngate Square and Kingland Crescent. He explained that the Amendment, which Council had now approved in relation to the Stamp Duty/Land Tax, was an issue which had still to be resolved. Currently Council had been advised that the financial liability was to

be within the region of £40,000 to £60,000 but, until confirmation had been received from Her Majesty's Revenue and Customs, the Council was wary of entering into any contract, hence the need for the above agreed Amendment.

Councillor Potter was extremely pleased to be recommending these proposals to Council, the results of very complex negotiations which he felt, if approved, would be the start of the regeneration of Town Centre North, something of which the Council should be extremely proud.

A Member felt the public realm within Falkland Square and Kingland Crescent was being given over to private management, and the Council's commitment to keep the Car Park in its current location for 25 years was felt would constrain the opportunities for development of the surrounding open space. A number of Members welcomed the Report, feeling it was the tangible conclusion of seven to eight years of hard work, and evidence of the Council adopting a more commercial approach to unlock investment in this first stage of regeneration. Some concerns were expressed over the length of the 150 year lease, but Members had been assured of the necessity for this length of lease.

**It was Moved and Seconded:**

That Council approve the following:

1.
  - (i) The delegation to the Corporate Property and Chief Financial Officers in consultation with the Monitoring Officer and Director for Place, the negotiation of the detailed Heads of Terms of a lease structure proposal summarised as follows;
  - (ii) Grant of a lease term of 150 years.
  - (iii) The acquisition of the freehold ownership of the southern side (Specsavers to Cornish Bakehouse) of Kingland Crescent from LGP and include this area of Kingland Crescent in the new lease to LGP. Identified in orange on the plan attached at Appendix A.
  - (iv) Entering into an Agreement to Grant a headlease to LGP subject to LGP undertaking certain works as a condition of the grant of the lease.
  - (v) Re-gearing of all the current leases and receive a minimum rent of £670,000 per annum for the first 5 years commencing on exchange of the Agreement for Lease. Thereafter the rent will be based on 11.7% of turnover of the rents received by the tenant, LGP, for the retail properties in Dolphin Centre, Towngate Square (Identified in blue and cross hatched to indicate the areas already leased to LGP on the attached plan at Appendix A) and both sides of Kingland Crescent.

- (vi) Subject to the Tenant providing confirmation that they will be undertaking certain mall refurbishment works, the Council will then fund and deliver enhancements to the Dolphin (MSCP) costing circa £1.5m. These works will be funded via prudential borrowing and it should be noted that these works are in addition to the £2.3m currently being spent on essential repairs. It should also be noted that these enhancement works will commence in order that they can be completed at the same time as the LGP internal mall refurbishment (see 4.2.2).
- (vii) Obligate the tenant, LGP, to undertake and fund improvements to the public realm in Falkland Square and improve the facades of the buildings in Kingland Crescent to the value of circa £7.7m.
- (viii) Agree a Public Realm performance specification with minimum performance standards governing Council led maintenance in Falkland Square and Kingland Crescent which would enable LGP's managing agent to control and operate the lettings strategy of the public realm area on behalf of the Council.
- (ix) Agree a Car Park Management Agreement for the future operation of the Dolphin MSCP and agree that a car park of similar capacity linked to the centre will remain in this location for the first 25 years of the term.
- (x) Retain (as per the current agreement) an updated clause permitting LGP and successors in title to offset their capital expenditure (on income enhancing improvements within the Dolphin, Kingland Crescent and Towngate area) by making deductions to the rent paid to the Council.
- (xi) Achieve an agreed position on tax liabilities, in particular, Stamp Duty Land Tax and Value Added Tax (VAT) payments with both Her Majesty's Revenue and Customs (HMRC) and LGP in advance of making a contractual commitment to LGP.

2.

- (i) Delegation to the Monitoring Officer, the authority to complete the legal documentation of the transaction outlined above.

The Portfolio Holder requested that voting on the above Recommendations be recorded. The requisite number of Members concurred, and voting was as follows:

**FOR**

Councillors Adams, Ms Atkinson, Brooke, Brown, Burden , Mrs Butt, Dion, Eades, Farrell, Fisher, Gabriel, Garner-Watts, Gupta, Hadley, Mrs Haines, Mrs Hodges, Iyengar, Mellor, Mrs Moore, D Newell, J Newell, Parker, Pawlowski, Pope, Potter, J Rampton, Mrs K Rampton, Russell, Mrs Slade, Stribley, Tindle, Trent, Mrs Walton, White, Williams, Miss Wilson and Wilson

**AGAINST**

Nil

**ABSTAINED**

Nil

**The Recommendations were CARRIED unanimously**

C91.16 POOLE QUAY'S FORUM NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN: REPORT OF THE VICE CHAIRMAN (IN THE CHAIR) PLACE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

The Vice Chairman (in the Chair) of Place Overview and Scrutiny Committee, Councillor Tindle, introduced his Report, the Recommendations of which were Moved and Seconded.

Councillor Tindle advised that he had chaired this Item at the Meeting of the Place Overview and Scrutiny Committee on 8 November 2016. He joined with the Committee in expressing congratulations to the Poole Quays Forum for the hard work put into the planning and preparation, and Council joined in recognising the level of public engagement achieved. A Hamworthy Ward Councillor congratulated the Forum on the clarity of its proposals with regard to the Hamworthy Centre, and the creation of a footpath around Holes Bay.

A Member congratulated the Forum on being the first Forum to submit its Neighbourhood Plan to Council, explaining that he felt this was an outstanding example of what localism could achieve.

A Member sought reassurance that, in the Council approving the Plan, it would not be pre-empting decisions with regard to PQF3 High Quality Design, which was to be part of a Member review in February 2017. The Member was advised that any review of policy would not be affected by the approval of the recommendations currently before Council.

Members felt this was the first step in building a cohesive plan for the whole of the Town Centre.

**It was Moved, Seconded and RESOLVED**

**That Council:**

- (i) Agree the modifications to the Poole Quays Forum Neighbourhood Plan recommended by the Independent Examiner in the report dated 23<sup>rd</sup> September 2016 (Appendix 1 to the Report);**
- (ii) Accept the Examiner's modifications and additional minor modifications to the Poole Quays Forum Neighbourhood Plan agreed between the Poole Quays Forum and Planning Officers as set out in the tables in the draft Decision Statement (Appendix 2 to the Report);**
- (iii) Agree with the Examiner's recommendation that the Poole Quays Forum Neighbourhood Plan as modified meets the Basic Conditions;**
- (iv) Agree that the Neighbourhood Plan as modified (Appendix 3 to the Report) can proceed to Referendum and then publish this decision through issuing the Decision Statement;**
- (v) Agree that any further minor changes to the Plan be delegated to the Head of Planning and Regeneration Services including Building Consultancy in consultation with the Portfolio Holder for Planning and Regeneration and subject to consultation with an appropriate representative from the Poole Quays Forum;**
- (vi) Agree that publication of the formal Decision Statement be delegated to the Head of Planning and Regeneration Services including Building Consultancy;**
- (vii) Agree, always within the scope of the Neighbourhood Planning Regulations, to support promotion and publicity of the Plan undertaken by the Poole Quays Forum.**

**C92.16 HOUSING DEVELOPMENT, NEW BUILD ON THE CANFORD HEATH ROAD SITE: REPORT OF THE PORTFOLIO HOLDER FOR HOUSING, HEALTH AND SOCIAL CARE**

The Portfolio Holder, Councillor Mrs Rampton, introduced her Report to Council, the Recommendations of which were Moved and Seconded. Councillor Mrs Rampton explained this was a new build housing development of sixty two units, including six flats for people with special needs, and was funded by a combination of Housing Revenue Account (HRA), Capital Resources and retained Right to Buy receipts.

Councillor Mrs Moore declared a personal interest as a Board Member of Poole Housing Partnership Ltd (PHP). She then expressed her support for the Project, including the provision of some equity share flats, more of which it was felt were needed in Poole.

A Ward Member for Canford Heath West explained that, whilst recognising the need to house vulnerable people, opinion in his Ward had been split, and he was disappointed that there had not been more consultation with residents which may have resulted in more support from the Ward for the Project.

**It was Moved, Seconded and RESOLVED**

**That Council approve:**

- (i) A total budget of £11.83M to deliver 62 new flats on the Canford Heath Road Site, funded by a combination of Housing Revenue Account (HRA) capital resources and retained right to buy receipts. This includes contingency funding for the whole project.**
- (ii) That the Head of Legal, Democratic and Strategy Services be instructed to issue a conditional letter of intent to the preferred bidder in order to comply with timescales within the Source Framework Agreement.**

C93.16 PROPOSED REVISIONS TO THE BOROUGH OF POOLE COUNCIL PROTOCOLS AND TERMS OF REFERENCE AND SERVICING ARRANGMENTS FOR THE BOURNEMOUTH AND POOLE HEALTH AND WELLBEING BOARD: REPORT OF THE CHAIRMAN OF THE BUSINESS IMPROVEMENT OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

This Item was withdrawn.

C94.16 LOCAL GOVERNMENT HOUSING ACT 1989 POLITICAL BALANCE: REPORT OF THE HEAD OF LEGAL, DEMOCRATIC AND STRATEGY SERVICES

The Portfolio Holder, Councillor Mrs Haines, advised Council that, following the by-election in the Broadstone Ward as a result of the resignation of former Councillor Joanne Tomlin, the political make-up of the Council had changed and now comprised:

- 30 Conservative Members
- 7 Liberal Democrat Members
- 3 Poole Party Members
- 1 United Kingdom Independent Member (UKIP)
- 1 Independent Member

A total of 42 Members.

Council noted that the Monitoring Officer had a statutory duty to re-balance the Council as soon as practical following such changes in its political make-up. This had been undertaken and the Liberal Democrat Group had two additional seats, the Conservative Group had lost two seats. There was no change to the seat allocation of the Poole People Party, UKIP or Independent Members.

Consultation had been undertaken with Group Leaders and changes to Committee membership agreed.

**It was Moved and Seconded:**

**That Council approve:**

- (i) The revised political balance of the Council**
- (ii) The following revisions to Standing Committees of the Council:**
  - **Councillor Mrs Vikki Slade be appointed to the Employee Appeal Committee and Business Improvement Overview and Scrutiny Committee**
  - **The vacancies on People Overview and Scrutiny Committee (Children and Young People) and the Planning Committee to be addressed at the December Council**

**C95.16 SCRAP METAL DEALERS' FEES: REPORT OF THE HEAD OF ENVIRONMENTAL AND CONSUMER PROTECTION**

This Item was withdrawn.

**C96.16 PROVISION OF NEW BEACH HUTS AND SEAFRONT TOURISM FACILITIES: REPORT OF THE PORTFOLIO HOLDER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL AND CONSUMER PROTECTION**

The Portfolio Holder, Councillor John Rampton, introduced his Report to Council, the Recommendations of which were Moved and Seconded.

Councillor Rampton informed Council that Cabinet, at its Meeting on 1 November 2016, had considered the Report enclosed with the Council Agenda and unanimously recommended it to Council for approval.

Council noted that the Business Case for the original Project was based on the construction of up to 178 additional new standard sized beach huts, predominantly on the first floor. This had been revised following emerging physical and technical issues, planning advice, operational requirements and customer market analysis.

The key revisions to the Project were:

- Rebuilding a significant number of huts rather than refurbishing them
- Reducing the overall number of additional new huts
- Incorporating larger huts within the building design to meet planning requirements and emerging market demand

The revised proposals were for 145 additional huts, 28 refurbished huts and 147 huts being rebuilt. There were to be improved facilities at Canford Cliffs Beach, an important outcome of the enhanced proposal, and this would improve catering and toilet facilities for all beach users at Canford Cliffs.

Council noted that the public consultation on the Project had been well received and was pleased to note that there would be work undertaken on the retaining wall.

Members were supportive of the Proposal, welcoming the investment but some Members commented that toilets were not needed solely as a beach provision, but in the Town Centre all year.

A Member also commented on the condition of some toilet provision by the Council near to its beaches, and requested that these be reviewed as it was stated that the condition would not be tolerated if the toilets were in private ownership.

Whilst disappointed there were not so many new huts, a Member was supportive of the Scheme, but queried whether there would be any impact on the Council's Capital Programme?

The Portfolio Holder, with responsibility for the Capital Programme, Councillor White, reassured the Member that the Capital Programme was not a concern for this Project.

**It was Moved, Seconded and RESOLVED**

**That Council:**

- (i) Approves the investment of a further £1.79m to improve seafront infrastructure.**
- (ii) Notes that this investment is in addition to the £3.62m Council committed to in July 2015 to develop new beach huts.**
- (iii) Notes that this investment will support complementary work to the original beach hut proposal such as those associated with seafront infrastructure; the mitigation of future maintenance liabilities; improve public catering and toilet facilities as well as extending the scope of the original project.**
- (iv) Delegates to the Chief Financial Officer the ability decide on the final funding mix of the scheme between the use of the Council's Capital Contingency or prudential borrowing.**
- (v) Delegates to the Head of Culture and Community Learning the final progression of individual schemes within the programme.**

**C97.16 PROPOSALS TO DELEGATE: HOUSING ENFORCEMENT FUNCTIONS:  
REPORT OF THE HEAD OF LEGAL, DEMOCRATIC AND STRATEGY  
SERVICES**

Councillor Mrs Rampton, the Portfolio Holder, introduced this Report, explaining that the Council had a statutory duty to regulate the standard of private housing within the Borough. Due to staff changes, Poole had reduced capacity in this

area and, as the Councils of Bournemouth and Poole were seeking to work together and share some services (as approved by Council on 19 July 2016), it had been agreed that the sensible, cost-neutral, course of action would be for Bournemouth Borough Council to carry out this function for both Councils. Poole no longer had the necessary Officer expertise, and recruitment would be costly.

She sought Council approval to delegate the functions to Bournemouth Borough Council.

This was a change in Council Policy and Council approval was sought to delegate the functions to Bournemouth Borough Council.

A number of Members were concerned that this Item was before Council without the benefit of a detailed report listing financial implications, or without the benefit of consideration by Overview and Scrutiny prior to Council consideration.

The Portfolio Holder felt that this proposal was a pragmatic solution to a problem.

**It was Moved, Seconded and RESOLVED**

**That Council approve the delegation of the functions relating to its statutory duty in regard to the regulation of private housing within the Borough to Bournemouth Borough Council**

C98.16 APPOINTMENT TO THE WILTSHIRE AND DORSET COMBINED FIRE AUTHORITY

Council was advised that Councillor Eades had resigned from the above Authority. As representation on the above Authority was politically balanced, a nomination had been sought from the Liberal Democrat Group.

**It was Moved, Seconded and RESOLVED**

**That Councillor Mrs Vikki Slade be appointed to the Wiltshire and Dorset Fire Authority**

C99.16 APPOINTMENT OF CO-OPTEE TO THE DORSET POLICE AND CRIME PANEL

Council was advised that Councillor Eades had resigned as a co-opted Member of the above Panel.

As the representation on this Panel was politically balanced, a nomination had been sought from the Liberal Democrat Group.

**It was Moved, Seconded and RESOLVED**

**That Councillor David Brown be appointed as a co-optee to the Dorset Police and Crime Panel**

C100.16 APPOINTMENT TO FOSTERING PANEL

Council was advised that there were no other nominations to the Panel.

**It was Moved, Seconded and RESOLVED**

**That Councillor Russell be appointed to the Council's Fostering Panel.**

C101.16 NOTICE(S) OF MOTION

The following Motion had been received:

BOUNDARY COMMISSION PROPOSALS 2016

It was Proposed and Seconded that the Motion be debated this evening.

A number of Members spoke in support of debating the Motion this evening, some Members were against debating the Motion as it was felt that its terms were not within the Council's Constitution and that the Motion was of a political nature. The urgency of debating the Motion this evening was also disputed.

**On being put to the VOTE, it was RESOLVED**

**That the Motion be debated this evening**

The Motion was in the following terms:

BOUNDARY COMMISSION PROPOSALS 2016

"We object to the current Boundary Commission proposals of 2016 regarding the Political constituency of Poole. It does not follow natural or traditional borders and creates unwieldy areas with limited demographic, community and cultural affinity.

We note with concern that Creekmoor ward in Poole is transferred to the Broadstone, Ferndown and Kinson constituency, and Alderney and Branksome East moved to Poole. Creekmoor clearly expressed its wish to remain part of Poole during the recent ward balancing exercise and still is strongly supportive of this stance. Alderney and Branksome East fit well into the Bournemouth West as existing.

The splitting of Bournemouth into North and South instead of East and West creates boundaries that do not follow natural borders between Poole and Bournemouth

The inclusion of the Canford Heaths in the Broadstone area does not create a natural affinity, as they are much closer in demographic, and culture to the adjoining Poole wards of Creekmoor and Oakdale.

We, the Council, strongly recommend a return to a vision closer to the revised borders as proposed in the 2013 version of this document.”

Signed

Councillor Peter Adams, Janet Walton, Drew Mellor, Elaine Atkinson, Ron Parker, Karen Rampton

The Motion was Proposed and Seconded.

A Member suggested, and Council concurred, that “community” be added in the third line after “demographic” to read:

“Unwieldy areas with limited demographic, community and cultural affinity.”

This Motion, as now amended, was debated.

A number of Members were in FAVOUR of the Motion, stating:

- this Motion was not party-political
- the Boundary Commission, a politically neutral organisation, had in the Members’ opinion, broken its own criteria in the proposals it was putting forward for the Poole Parliamentary Constituency, which went against culture and community ties
- the Council’s view was required urgently as the date for submissions to the Boundary Commission was 5 December 2016
- Boundaries were usually drawn north to south, not west to east
- This Council covers all Wards and three Parliamentary Constituencies, the Council needed to show its dissatisfaction with the Proposals

A number of members spoke **AGAINST** the Motion, stating that:

- Members still had time to express individual views
- This Motion was not a Council matter
- If the Council was to debate it, the Boundary Commission’s proposals should have been before Overview and Scrutiny Committee to allow a proper debate before seeking Council’s views.

On being put to the vote, the Motion, as amended, in the following terms:

“We object to the current Boundary Commission proposals of 2016 regarding the Political constituency of Poole. It does not follow natural or traditional borders and creates unwieldy areas with limited demographic, community and cultural affinity.

We note with concern that Creekmoor ward in Poole is transferred to the Broadstone, Ferndown and Kinson constituency, and Alderney and Branksome East moved to Poole. Creekmoor clearly expressed its wish to remain part of Poole during the recent ward balancing exercise and still is strongly supportive of

this stance. Alderney and Branksome East fit well into the Bournemouth West as existing.

The splitting of Bournemouth into North and South instead of East and West creates boundaries that do not follow natural borders between Poole and Bournemouth

The inclusion of the Canford Heaths in the Broadstone area does not create a natural affinity, as they are much closer in demographic and culture to the adjoining Poole wards of Creekmoor and Oakdale.

We, the Council, strongly recommend a return to a vision closer to the revised borders as proposed in the 2013 version of this document.”

Was **CARRIED**

C102.16 QUESTIONS RELATING TO THE FIRE AUTHORITY AND WORK OF THE POLICE AND CRIME PANEL

No questions had been received.

C103.16 QUESTIONS TO THE LEADER OF THE COUNCIL RELATING TO THE DORSET LOCAL ENTERPROSE PARTNERSHIP (DLEP)

No questions had been received.

C104.16 QUESTIONS RELATING TO GENERAL BUSINESS

One question had been received from Councillor Brown to Councillor Mrs Rampton, the Portfolio Holder for Health, Social Care and Housing. The Question and Answer are detailed in Appendix 1 to these Minutes.

The Mayor reminded all Members of the need for questions to be concise without a long preamble.

C105.16 URGENT BUSINESS

There was no urgent business.

C106.16 EXCLUSION OF THE PUBLIC AND PRESS

The public and press were not excluded as there was no discussion of the Confidential Appendix D to Agenda Item 2.

C107.16 REGEARING OF LEASING AGREEMENT – LEGAL AND GENERAL DOLPHIN SHOPPING CENTRE, TOWNGATE SQUARE AND KINGLAND CRESCENT: APPENDIX TO AGENDA ITEM 10, APPENDIX D

There was no discussion on this Confidential Appendix.

**MAYOR**