

Full Name	Organisation Details	Comment ID	Question 3 - Do you have any other comments regarding investment and improvement in Poole's seafront?	Key points
Mrs Marian Steele		158	A large restaurant would not be viable at canford cliffs with the limited parking	Viability.
Mr Peter Standish		144	There is no doubt that many of the facilities are rather tired and do require updating, however much of the tired appearance is due to a lack of maintenance which failing should be remedied. In particular, necessary work such as litter picking, clearance of sand from the Sandbanks promenade and the policing of the dogs ban has reduced with the result that the area becomes scruffy in appearance.	Improvement is a maintenance issue.
Mr Patrick Marlow		32	A strong investment programme and active policy to generate a safe cycling infrastructure for local people, with access from Poole and Branksome train stations and the formation of suitably placed 'park and cycle' car park/s.	Investment in cycling and link to railways required.
Mr Andrew Silver		167	Accessibility, car parking, and most of all weather are all elements that need to be taken into account. Locals and visitors need to reach the beach front reasonably easily, and those arriving by car need to be able to park. The weather, however, remains the most important ingredient. If the sun shines, locals and visitors come, if it's raining, they will be kept away. Notwithstanding, it is fundamental for the beaches to be as friendly and as welcoming as possible whenever people decide to visit.	Weather dictates visitor numbers.
Mrs Nicky Chilcott		65	Advertising the pros of our beach, such as the ones I've pointed out above, would increase the attraction for holiday makers. Many people are completely unaware that sand lizards occupy this beach and that they are indeed a protected species! General investment to regularly maintain existing facilities is, of course, needed.	Public ignorance on sand lizards. Investment in maintenance required.
Mr Tim Potten		181	Again re Sandbanks: Be less adventurous - rather than trying to create a "legacy" for the council members to bask in or an opportunity for developers to add more implausibly priced penthouses, focus on what the current users get from the facility and improve on them. Sandbanks needs better toilets but that is hardly a major development requiring a sell off of part of the beach. It also needs more parking (see second level suggestion above). Leave the crazy golf. Add another cafe on the beach side if there is a demand. The harbour cafe could work; indeed why stop there - you could have two and/or reclaim land or extend a boarded area into the bay from the cafe jetty along the side of Banks Road so that watersports people could use that rather than the walkway, or worse still the road, to get changed and tinker with their equipment (so to speak).	Improvements and more parking required not major redevelopment.
Mrs Debbie Hudson		132	An area that could be improved for Poole's seafront is to erect lighting along the promenade, similar to what exists along Bournemouth. I also think that the taps along the promenade should be maintained better. Poole beaches should retain their natural beauty and not be commercially developed. This will only ensure that wealthy people from out of the area will snap up these developments, albeit for one week of the year, and eventually make it a place that is unaccessible to the general public.	Lighting and improved taps required.
Miss Pat Tempny		28	An edge of town park and ride on the A338 (even if only through the summer months) which would serve both Bournemouth and eastern Poole beaches would help tackle some of the summer congestion and parking issues. BBC and PBC should work together and consider this as a vital part of their beach and traffic management.	Park and ride for Bournemouth and Poole beaches.
Mr Stephen Bailey		24	Another concern is the removal of some of the cliff habitat (Page 48, number 21) near Shore Road. The wild and natural part of the cliff continues along to here and the proposal to build a new path and beach huts would destroy this ecological and visual link. Sustainability is now central to the planning system and this part of the plan is clearly not sustainable. On 1st February 2007 the council refused planning permission (No:06/08792/013/F) for Vision to build beach huts on the adjacent area of cliff face due to environmental concerns so I wonder what has changed to make this acceptable now. Please could this part of the plan be dropped? Parking at Shore Road : The proposal to build a block of apartments on the already overstretched car park has been poorly thought through. What is most needed at Shore Road is more parking not less. Please delete this section of the plan. Lighting along the prom:- I regularly walk to work along the promenade from Shore Road to Boscombe, winter and summer. One of the best parts of my walk home is being able to see the moon and stars when I reach an unlit section of promenade where there is less light pollution. Too much light is neither environmentally friendly nor sustainable and I would ask that lighting is not extended to more of the promenade and that consideration is given to reducing the height and power of existing lighting. Low level, low power lighting would be more attractive and sustainable.	More parking not less at Shore Road. Reduce power and height of existing promenade lighting and do not extend it due to light pollution.

Full Name	Organisation Details	Comment ID	Question 3 - Do you have any other comments regarding investment and improvement in Poole's seafront?	Key points
Miss Alice Murgatroyd		113	<p>Another proposal of the document is to reduce the overall number of parking spaces at Sandbanks by 100, (p.39) whilst redistributing a fraction of this lost space to the road itself. This is not only impractical, but dangerous. I simply disagree that Banks Road could also be made more attractive by creating additional car parking spaces (p.v) and would like to highlight that, as the document states, traffic along this road is already a real problem. Motorists manoeuvring into and out of these angled spaces will be doing so whilst negotiating often heavy traffic, rather than within the confined, and comparatively safe, existing car park. It is short sighted and irresponsible to imagine this is a reasonable solution to the car parking problem. Further, reducing car parking by any amount, whilst planning buildings to attract even more people to the area, is simply unfeasible. I appreciate the vision of encouraging better use of bicycles and public transport, but I hope the council appreciates that this as a viable solution to the traffic problem is a fanciful idea. The car parking situation will remain a huge issue for Sandbanks, only to be amplified by reduced parking at Sandbanks, more on street parking and increased numbers of visitors to the area, as the document outlines are aims of the scheme. I agree with the opening statement on p. 1 - Poole's seafront is indeed a breathtaking, precious and much loved. Please acknowledge my concern over the articles I have mentioned within the Council's plans that will blight our seafront. The natural areas must be preserved and not sacrificed for beach huts, the dramatic and sweeping view along the beaches must be retained and the sand itself not encroached upon by the building at Shore Road (neither built upon, nor over). There should be no further unnecessary lighting added to the beachfront and the car parking at Sandbanks must not be reduced, particularly not with the introduction of yet more dangerous on street parking. The document claims that an opportunity exists at Sandbanks Beach to create a special destination, (p.38) I disagree. Sandbanks Beach already is a special destination, threatened by the plans objected to above.</p>	<p>Reduced car parking and more on street parking will not work. More lighting and additional beach huts is not required to improved the beaches.</p>
Mr Roy Lemon		83	<p>Any changes should not exclude the public from full access to the beach. This is particularly relevant to the proposed development at Shore Road. The proposal to remove car parking spaces from Sandbanks car park would be unworkable. At peak times during the summer and during events such as the polo matches, it has been almost unbearable to try to get to the Sandbanks peninsula or to the ferry. This has caused ill feeling and stress to both visitors and local residents. Increasing activity whilst reducing parking would only compound this problem.</p>	<p>Loss of parking combined with additional visitors/events will not work.</p>
Mrs Barbara Lemon		82	<p>Any changes should not exclude the public from full access to the beach. This is particularly relevant to the proposed development at Shore Road. The proposal to remove car parking spaces from Sandbanks car park would be unworkable. At peak times during the summer and during events such as the polo matches, it has been almost unbearable to try to get to the Sandbanks peninsula or to the ferry. This has caused ill feeling and stress to both visitors and local residents. Increasing activity whilst reducing parking would only compound this problem.</p>	<p>Public access to the beach should remain. Loss of parking with increased activity at the beach will cause ill feeling and stress.</p>
Mr Colin Hession		47	<p>Appalling example of council waste.</p>	<p>Waste.</p>
Mr Jasper Dykes	Jazz Cafe	5	<p>As a local business, I believe that the proposed approach to planning is heading in the right direction however, would caution that serious consideration should be given to existing business's prior to the introduction of new cafes, kiosks and other commercial sites. The council should be extremely careful not to cannibalise existing local businesses. Taking a realistic view of current trading circumstances, operating a business in &amp; around the Sandbanks or sea frontal areas is difficult, seasonal and extremely dependent upon weather conditions. The introduction of more kiosks, shops, cafes and restaurants would simply dilute existing business considerably and thus create an unsustainable trading environment. My suggestion would be to give existing businesses first pick or preference over the tendering of new sites and take a realistic view as to the trading landscape that would be created with the introduction of additional businesses. Looking at the proposal for Shore Road beach specifically, the site proprietors (Jazz Cafe) have been suggesting the construction of a sea front beach complex encompassing a restaurant / cafe for quite some time and would be happy to discuss any proposals with the council at any time.</p>	<p>Ensure that an unsustainable trading environment does not result by the introduction of more businesses that dilute existing. A seafront beach complex at Shore Road could be supported.</p>

Full Name	Organisation Details	Comment ID	Question 3 - Do you have any other comments regarding investment and improvement in Poole's seafront?	Key points
Mrs Kathleen Berkin		111	At Canford Cliffs it is proposed to have huts in the trees and overnight stays. I think this could be a good thing if there was a group together and not just isolated huts. On the other hand it may well cause a problem with drinking etc. I do not think many "middle aged" people would want to stay overnight.	Overnight chalets at Canford Cliffs supported if not isolated from each other and anti-social behaviour does not result.
Freshwater		179	Before trying to bring in more people, more careful thought needs to be given to transport links. 'Toast-rack' parking causes long queues as cars try to manouvre in and out of spaces (see Broadstone Broadway). Large high-sided camper vans will block visibility as cars try to get in and out and there is no provision for the windsurfers with trailers who use the Bay all year round and in all weathers. The current bus service is very poor, with the last bus leaving far too early. With small children and lots of beach paraphenalia, the car is the only option. Making the beach a more attractive place to be in the evenings with better lighting and seating is an improvement but the British weather doesn't lend itself to outdoor living and to put everything undercover will spoil the natural environment that attracts people in the first place. Hopefully planners will have looked at things that work well in Britain and not based their ideas on what we all enjoy when on holiday abroad.	Transport links important. Car should be main option, bus service is currently poor. Toast rack parking will cause road delays. Look at other UK examples before considering examples from abroad where weather is better.
Mr Peter Marley-Shaw		68	Blue Flag Beaches are mentioned with perhaps a desire to extend these. You will be aware that Criterion 23 of the Blue Flag Regulations 2013 require dogs to be banned from these areas. As a small practical issue to encourage compliance with "dog-poo" removal by laws, refuse containers should continue to be left at close intervals along the beaches. Additionally the National Trust system of providing "poo-bag" dispensers on the promenades should be introduced.	Dog refuse containers should be provided at regular intervals along the seafront, with poo bag dispensers like the NT provide.
Mr Andy Collyer		271	BoP should be reviewing alternative funding options for the required infrastructure upgrades which do not destroy the character of the very area this document should be safeguarding.	Review alternative funding options.
Mr Peter Willingham		95	By all means invest in the area but sensibly, not this drastic change. Put back the two lanes on the approach to the car park to alleviate congestion on busy days. Make the car parks free again in the winter to encourage all year round visitors.	Re-introduce 2 lanes of traffic and provide free winter parking at Sandbanks,
Bloomfield		154	Create more beach huts. Make more use of the car parks for special events off season.	Additional beach huts and winter events on car parks.
Mrs Jeannette Aston		94	DO NOT OVER DEVELOP THE BEACH AREA, REMEMBER THAT APART FROM VISITORS POOLE RESIDENTS USE THE BEACH ALL YEAR ROUND. We do not want a "blackpool" or an up market area for "posers".	Don't commercialise
Mr Jeremy Retford		99	Do the minimum necessary and keep it simple. Do not destroy the magic of Sandbanks with unviable gimmicks. Just because something CAN be done does not mean it SHOULD be done. The summer car parking charges alone would seem to be enough to fund new toilets - start with that.	Do less not more. Look at existing funding streams like parking charges.
Mr Brian Tustain		80	Ensure that the seafront is well maintained, with facilities that are modern, clean and working. The Sandbanks area is unique and beautiful, and further development is not going to enhance or improve it.	Maintenance required for modern and clean facilities not further development.
Mrs Diane Vincent		173	Feel very strongly that there ought to be lighting on the footpath leading from Flaghead to the main road. This is a road which I, together with numerous other visitors to the beach use, and which is very dark with all the trees and is quite intimidating when walking along it. Consideration should be given to this as a matter of safety. There are also ample spaces for additional seating/chairs - something which I have already applied for, together with funds, in order that a Commemorative seat could be placed but apparently this scheme has now been discontinued, for the time being. If residents are willing to pay for this then it would seem like a missed opportunity for the Council not to utilise these additional funds which would also provide more facilities, particularly for the elderly, in respect of seating. Any seating would be used both in Summer and Winter.	Lighting required in Flaghead Chine for safety reasons, and additional all year road seating.

Full Name	Organisation Details	Comment ID	Question 3 - Do you have any other comments regarding investment and improvement in Poole's seafront?	Key points
Cllr May Haines	Borough of Poole	67	<p>General Comments To encourage year round use of the beaches, themed events could be introduced. One suggestion could be 12 days of Christmas, which will bring visitors to the beaches during winter. The idea would be to have items hidden along the seafront for children to find, partridge in a pear tree, 2 turtle doves etc. There could be stalls with Christmas goods for sale and mulled wine either from the current catering concession holder or other vendors if this becomes possible. To encourage visitors to use other parts of the beach, events such as a street food market could be held on Pinecliff car park with a nature trail along the footpath that leads visitors from Beach Road car park to Branksome Chine Beach. There could also be a pirate trail on Smugglers Chine in Canford Cliffs that leads from Treasure Island Play area, along the chine to the beach. Conclusion Whilst it is acknowledged that some changes and modernization needs to be made, it has to be moderated and be sympathetic to the area. REMEMBER, people come to Poole beaches for its natural beauty. If they want a commercialized beach front they will head to Bournemouth. We need to keep the unique natural feature we have and not compromise it to the extent that it starts to put visitors off from coming. Some of the suggestions made would be from visitors to the area and not local residents. Regard needs to be given to have a balance between satisfying visitors whims and those of local residents who have to live with any of changes on a daily basis.</p>	Introduce themed events, like the 12 days of Christmas, or Smugglers trail at Canford Cliffs from Treasure Island play area at top of chine.
Mr Lester Smith		79	Get sponsors for sports events etc - its a unique spot.	Use Sponsors
Mrs Judy Birch		112	Has anyone investigated lottery or other similar funding sources to contribute ? Local businesses ?	Lottery funding, local business support.
Mrs Alison Layton		157	How is this investment to be funded? It would be unacceptable to sell off parts of the promenade for private investment. The whole of the plan must be funded by the council and all revenue from hut/chalet rental must be paid to and managed by the council. Obviously hotels and restaurants would be private enterprises - but please do not let private companies benefit from beach hut rental etc	Council to maintain ownership and control of investments.
Mr Peter Edginton		117	I am a member of North Haven YC the reduction of car spaces could be the downfall of this self help club. The suggestion that a taxi service could run from Poole Quay shows how this has not been properly investigated it would need a channel to be dredged! The cost would be immense and need dredging every few years.	North Haven YC needs parking spaces. Taxi service won't work without expensive dredging.
Mrs Julie Snow		55	I am aware of the ageing infrastructure and recognise that private sector investment will be needed to support any new facilities that are desperately needed. As a lifelong Poole resident and frequent beach user I am also concerned that our beautiful natural environment is preserved at all costs. Hotels and beachfront restaurants are risky business ventures so it remains to be seen whether in due course any firm plans will be submitted. With long beach hut waiting lists, some new build huts would seem like a sound long term investment.	Accept private investment required to provide new facilities. New beach huts a sound investment.
Mrs Julie Bailey		48	<p>I am concerned about the proposal at Shore Road for an additional 80 beach huts or overnight beach studios which will be up to 3 storeys high. There are no beach huts currently above 2 storeys high so this would be completely out of character with the existing area. It should also be noted that even at busy weekends over 50% of beach huts are not used. Overnight beach huts are currently against council policy and could lead to antisocial behaviour . Some of the new beach huts are proposed to be placed next to the steps down to the beach (Footpath 81) on the unspoilt natural cliff area, which is a habitat for protected species of slow worms and grass snakes. The part of the cliff on the other side of the steps was refused planning permission for beach huts in February 2007. The planning permission was refused on these grounds: This proposal would involve extensive excavation of a cliff slope and would result in significant short term harm to the character and visual appearance of a prominent site along the seafront. It is considered unlikely that the cliff could be restored to an acceptable standard to mitigate the visual and ecological harm caused by the development . This proposal will result in the reduction of the visual and natural amenity of the cliff at this point the proposal is considered unacceptable and contrary to Policies NE23, NE19, NE26, NE27 and NE21 of the Local Plan. The proposal to build a Boutique Dune Hotel on the main Sandbanks car park would reduce the car parking spaces there by 100 and the proposal to build a block of private apartments in the car park at Shore Road would reduce the parking there by about 40%. The plan proposes to compensate for some of this loss of parking by having additional parking on Banks Road which would adopt an angled</p>	Unviable and unsustainable proposals

Full Name	Organisation Details	Comment ID	Question 3 - Do you have any other comments regarding investment and improvement in Poole's seafront?	Key points
			(echelon) format. This type of parking could be quite dangerous on a road used by so many cars and cyclists. There will be insufficient parking for the scale of development planned thus making it unviable and unsustainable.	
Mrs Adele Provan		174	I am not adverse to change, but I love this place because it is not Brighton or Bournemouth or Southend. Every one of our friends who comes here loves it too and for that reason, it is its natural beauty, open air, back to basics activities (walking, swimming, running, cycling, water sports) in a safe and friendly environment that make it so special, there is no more joy than sitting on a towel on the beach eating sandy sandwiches on a hot day or walking along the front in a hat and a scarf on a windy winter's day blowing the cobwebs away and breathing in lung fulls of sea air. In an age of technology and convenience, isnt it nice to have your heart warmed by the simple pleasures in life rather than whether your ipad will work or how big your bbq can be! Please consider that often less is more.	It is the outdoors that makes the place special, not whether technologies like iPads work. Less is more.
Mr John Martindale		69	I am pleased to say that the ribbon of large sharp stones just under the water line between Shore Road and Flaghead Chine has all but disappeared. It is not clear whether this is as a result of action by Poole Council or by natural movement. I would urge monitoring of this problem and measures taken to reduce its impact because it does not accord with our "lovely sandy beaches".	Monitor movement of large sharp stones under water between Shore Road and Flaghead Chine.
Mrs Pippa Jackson		27	I am very concerned that as part of this redevelopment, there will be a push for the remaining non-blue flag (and therefore all year round dog friendly) beaches to attain blue flag status, which will effectively mean that dog walkers are banned from their own beaches for a quarter of the year. Obviously this is unacceptable and I hope the proposed plans will recognise the fact that it is the local residents (dog walkers) who will keep the proposed new infrastructure projects in business during the off season. It is most unpalatable to think of our needs being cast aside in favour of the tourists in the summer months given all that we do to keep the local economy afloat over the winter.	Keep access to beach for dog walkers.
Mrs Allyson Bailey		141	I believe more parking must be acknowledged and planned for, not less. New toilet blocks. No sleeping cabins.	More not less parking, no overnight chalets, new toilets.
Mr Richard Stephens		103	I believe that a regular water taxi service from Poole Quay to Bournemouth stopping at beaches en-route would encourage tourism and could go some way to easing traffic congestion. More people are using motorcycles and scooters so more free, visible and secure parking bays should be available to encourage this form of transport. The upgrading of existing toilet facilities and the building of additional units is essential. It is also important that they should remain open throughout the year. Modernizing existing catering outlets should be a priority. Visitors require more than an ice-cream. Once again these outlets should remain open for longer periods than at present. Additional benches would also be appreciated.	Support water taxi and motorcycle/scooter transport. Facilities should diversify and open longer. More benches required.

Full Name	Organisation Details	Comment ID	Question 3 - Do you have any other comments regarding investment and improvement in Poole's seafront?	Key points
Mrs Gill Kirby		160	I do agree that access to some of the beaches needs to be improved for disabled and elderly people. Toilets at the beach should be kept open throughout the year and more disabled toilets installed. It would be an excellent idea to improve cycle routes to the beach, to encourage more families to cycle in safety. Parts of Sandbanks Road are without cycle lanes and hazardous for cyclists. In other areas of the town (by the Civic Centre and on Ringwood Road, Upper Parkstone) cycle lanes have been added to the pavements; perhaps this could be replicated on some of the busier roads that lead to the beach.	Improved access required for the elderly, disabled and cyclists. Toilets open for longer.
Mr Russell Merry		131	I do not agree that overnight accomodation 'Pods' should be provided. Overnight beach huts at Mudeford/Hengistbury Head work because they are isolated and therefore limited to the community but the easy access to the Poole seafront will see these overnight pods create a nighttime drinking culture likely to become a mecca for underage drinking. Prioritising local people rather than looking to draw in more tourists should be the goal. Branksome beach is a jewel and its facilities the right balance between development and tranquility. It is essential to maintain dog access to the beaches which could be lost in the enthusiasm for attracting more people to the beaches as tourists.	Local needs should take priority over tourists. Access for dogs maintained. Overnight pods not supported.
Mr Michael Hudson		133	I do not agree with reducing car parking numbers at Sandbanks. Saying that, I believe what the Council did by adding a few parking spaces on the old slip road into the main Sandbanks carpark was the biggest mistake ever made for traffic flow I've seen in fifty years of going down there. Leave Poole's beaches alone and do not replace the beach huts as they fall into a state of disrepair, put the areas back to open spaces. I am talking as a person who in rents one. It is a fact that a lot of people will not afford to hire the huts as the prices have gone up so high in recent years. Opening up the rental of the huts to out of towners will only allow access to the rich and saying that the whole issue of Sandbanks being taken over by the beach polo sums it up. "Money Talks". If the Councillors really wanted to know the true wishes of Poole people on what is the biggest issue ever to be up in front of planning. Poole's seafront, write to each household with some simple questions such as do you support the development of a hotel on land owed by the council at Sandbanks. Not a chance.	No reduction in parking or replacement of beach huts.
Mrs Bea Littlemore		41	I do not see why supporting and upgrading Poole's seafront should involve the destruction of its quiet and unspoilt areas. These are also part of its attraction and many hotels and activities are already available elsewhere in the nearby area, as well as an existing Go-Ape at Moors Valley Country Park.	Proposed development not needed
Mrs Geraldine King		61	I do think that there is a need for more beach huts as I understand the demand far outways availability. If the plan is to provide these facilites for locals, I cannot see the need to make them available overnight? I understand that we need to attract holiday makers, but this should be left to local hotels, Bed and Breakfast providers etc, which would bring in much needed income for the area generally. Improving the Community Room at Branksome Dene is well overdue, but I believe it should be kept for the use of the community. Any improvements under consideration should be just that and not a money making scheme, that by it's very nature denies locals the opportunity to enjoy a beautiful and unspoilt area for which we all already pay fairly high council tax! Car parking is at a premium. Maybe improving that facility would bring in some of the required extra income. It must be possible to plan a two tier car park at Sandbanks, which would not be unsightly or be raised above the level of the beach huts and would double the income currently acheived. It is noticable that many older local houses with large acreage are being snapped up by a few local builders and are then being split into several plots, in order to line their own pockets. Maybe the council could invest in some of the more appropriate sites along the Sandbanks Road and turn those into car parks! Hopefully Councillors granting planning permission for any work undertaken, would strongly advocate the use of local companies, thereby providing work for local people, who would in turn spend their money in their own area.	2 tier car park at Sandbanks a possibility. Council could invest in high value properties to deliver more parking! More beach huts supported but not overnight accommodation which isn't provided for locals.

Full Name	Organisation Details	Comment ID	Question 3 - Do you have any other comments regarding investment and improvement in Poole's seafront?	Key points
McNally		165	I feel that the proposed development @ Branksome Dene is way beyond what is required and will be detrimental to this "hidden gem" of a beach How feasible is it to improve vehicle access by local widening - I think this would destroy the woodland feel of the approach to the beach. This beach is currently used by wind surfers when conditions are right for them, but if it was designated as a watersports beach it would be to the detriment of other beach users. Motorised watersports vehicles would increase noise levels and destroy current ambience. There would also be the increased danger to bathers and beach users from vehicles close to shore. The idea of a hotel/ performance area/ ground and rope walks amongst trees is inappropriate in this area, surrounded as it is by residential properties and another hotel almost opposite the chine entrance. I don't think that a "build it and they will come" mentality is true in all cases. A more appropriate response might be to ensure that the existing facilities are kept in the best condition they can be - toilet facilities behind the community beach hut are in an abysmal state despite extended closure for repairs. Putting more (or is it to be replacement?) toilets at promenade level is pointless unless they are maintained in good condition and opened all year round.	Improve but don't develop. Intensification may result with watersports hub introducing noise and disturbance.
Mr Lester Vickers		8	I have been informed that you are planning to restrict the use of the lovely open space . Known as the beach from use by dog walkers. I know that a few spoil it for the many of us. But this does happen with many things and most keep to rules. What people love to see is all the well behaved dogs running on the beach and in and out of the sea . My old dog would swim out to a swimmer to make sure they were ok before returning. That was in days before lifeguards and it would be early or late in the evening when they were not on duty. But they do a good job as well as your beach wardens. They also help to stop a lot of the vandalism. As dog walkers go in all weathers and at different time of day. Cause they have to take their dog for a walk. We are also important to all the cafes etc. As we have to have a drink as well and are there all year round and food to keep us going. Please also note that some of us have to drive to beach and therefore have to pay for parking just to be able to walk our dog on beach. Which is direct income to council. I hope the council consider this point. We are ratepayers as well as all the other use of the beach.	Dog walkers provide surveillance at the beach and bring income to cafes and car parks in all weathers.
Mr Robert Lister		215	I have been reporting the same problem now for over 5 years. The problem never goes away, sadly the whole beach at Branksome Chine come to the tap next to our hut to rinse themselves of sand, their surf boards, their canoes and buckets and spades. So much sand and water, our drain overflows, causing us to get flooded! The solution is still the same simple one, remove the sand from the drainage and put a tap or shower on the Promenade, this can drain on to the beach. I have been saying the same for 5 years and getting no action. Whilst writing, still nothing has been done to allow the waste water from the taps to soak away, since the beach replenishment of sand blocked the outlet. Consequently, our beach hut suffers from dreadful stale water smells and frequently gets flooded! Putting showers on the beach promenade would resolve this for us. Someone please action this, no you don't need to dig up the promenade or incur great expense, its a simple job.	Blocked and overused drain at Branksome needs attention, like provision of shower on the promenade.
Miss Kim Hamilton		9	I have just read your draft document for sustaining Poole's seafront which I must say is quite an impressive document covering everything from water sports to parking, even a library on the beach. What is rather conspicuous by its absence is any mention of " dog walkers " using the beach. As an avid dog walker I often use the beaches in winter time to walk my dogs. I understand why dogs are not allowed on the beaches in the summer months as the beaches are rather crowded and dogs can be something of a nuisance to sunbathers and picnickers. However, during the winter months a good 50% of beach users have a dog with them. Dogs love the beaches too! Does this mean that you are proposing to ban dogs from these beaches or are you just not interested in making any provision (i.e. Dog waste bins)? How is that that these plans have not considered those who use the beaches through the winter time??	Provision for dog walkers and all year use.

Full Name	Organisation Details	Comment ID	Question 3 - Do you have any other comments regarding investment and improvement in Poole's seafront?	Key points
Mr Richard Logan		172	I have some concerns about the proposals for Branksome Dene Chine, particularly in regard to the building of a hotel on the site of the community centre. This is one area of the beach that, at present, is mainly enjoyed by locals as it is a bit quieter than some other sections. There is nearly always somewhere to park. Surely the building of a hotel will not only reduce the number of parking spaces but will result in overcrowding and an influx of tourists. I also worry about the impact this would all have on the natural beauty of this area. If the community centre needs to be developed I feel it would be best converted to a laid-back, informal "surf shack" style cafe.	No support for Branksome Dene hotel - a surf shack type café would serve community better.
Mr Will Robbins		76	I have spoken to a number of visitors and residents - I was in Sandbanks for a month this summer. The general feeling is that the major car park is what the council should concentrate on developing. Best leave Shore Road, and Branksome Chine alone - they have been overdeveloped. Tidy up the public toilets as suggested above with an attractive combination of RNLI, visitor centre and landscaped piazza without changing the roofline of the area. Sponsorship of benches, trees, pavement placques, works of art, fitness trails etc would not just generate a modest income it would encourage more use of the promenade year round (and not just for dog walkers!!).	Concentrate on Sandbanks - leave other beaches alone. Landscaped piazza with same roofline. Sponsorship for benches, trees, fitness trails etc. to encourage more all year use.
Mrs Anna Robinson		18	I live on Cassel Ave, directly above the proposed site for the Tree Top Ape walk, small hotel, new beach huts, food outlets, extension of the existing community hut, etc., etc.. The present conditions of chaotic parking, in most cases illegal & dangerous, would surely only get worse for local residents. Cassel Ave is fortunate to have a path leading down to Branksome Dene Beach, unfortunately this same path attracts many visitors searching to park their vehicles. I have on many occasions found it impossible to turn left or right out my drive. Double yellow lines on Cassel Ave and extra public car parks at or near this proposed site would be an absolute priority. Only then, would the local residents welcome these proposals with open arms, many of whom have children who would of course benefit from these facilities..	Need to address on street parking (current problems) near Branksome Dene in conjunction with anything attracting more visitors here.
Mrs Helen Hughes		22	I object strongly to the proposals to constuct a 'Go Ape' style tree top trail in Branksome Dene Chine as I think it would lead to the following problems: increased noise; increased fire hazard; beauty of the woodlands spoiled; interference with nature; potential to threaten security of nearby residents' homes. I strongly object to the proposal to promote Branksome Dene Chine as a venue for music and consider that this will also spoil the peaceful residential area and potentially lead to reduced value of property in area. The fact that this Chine area is a shaped like a 'bowl' will augment noise pollution.	Objection to music venue and Go Ape course at Branksome Dene.
Miss Julia Furbey		130	I think Poole need to think about their residents wishes carefully. My friend has had a beach hut at Branksome Dene Chine for over 25 years and I have had the pleasure of visiting a lot during the year. This Chine has always been the one that not everyone knows about which is part of its charm. Although some of the facilities do need improving, the need to not spoil this area is imperative. The Community Room does need updating, but to even think about a hotel is not fair to the residents of Poole. It is much enjoyed by various groups of people and a hotel would take away this enjoyment. A marina would prevent us from using the sea in this area and would be a blot on the whole of Poole Bay, which at the moment is stunning.	Hotel and marina are not supported but improvements are.
Mr Ben Rayner		182	I think the extention of the land train would be an excellent idea. I think the seafront promenade should be promoted as a route into Bournemouth/Poole by foot/cycle (in closed season) it is ideal for the disabled/children and would reduce congestion in Poole/Bournemouth	Support for land train
Mr John McNutt		88	I think the proposal should be driven by better consideration for the quality of the landscape, not icecream kiosks.	Landscape not kiosks
Miss Imogen Parker		110	I welcome the ideas to encourage cycling and bike hire.	Cycling and bike hire are good ideas.
Mr Gary Lawton		249	I would be happy to be involved further in this work, particularly to represent the local windsurfing community or give other feedback as required.	Support for windsurfing initiatives and further involvement.
M Batts		4	I would like to see enhanced access to Poole and Bournemouth seafront for walkers and cyclists. It should be possible to widen the paths in many areas to allow more segregation of walkers and cyclists. There seems to increased encroachment, particularly near Sandbanks, by landowners restricting use and access. I would like to see a fully connected coastal path around the conurbation, with no restriction to walkers and cyclists. It is noticeable that some of the access paths that gave limited access at	Need to widen paths for pedestrians and cyclists, and provide fully connected coastal path around the conurbation without curtailment near boating areas.

Full Name	Organisation Details	Comment ID	Question 3 - Do you have any other comments regarding investment and improvement in Poole's seafront?	Key points
			Sandbanks near the boating areas are now almost completely closed off following extra builing work.	
Mrs Joyce Mary Smith		11	I would like to see Labrador Drive Baiter Park done like this please: A promenade building out to the water. Then there would be nice sand for people. It would carry on so it would join to Sandbanks and Hamwothy. As it is now it looks out of place with Hamworthy and Sandbanks. Labrador Drive has got some nice houses. It would bring a lot of money into Poole. I hope something can get done.	Baiter Park should be included in the SPD.
Mr Jerzy Krol		87	I would prefer to see a more scaled down approach where the existing natural features are protected and enhanced. The existing structures are clearly in need of an overhaul and further facilities would be welcome, please however ensure they are designed in a way that is human in scale and sensitive to the surrounding environment. Remember if you are to keep the existing character and therefore the attraction of Poole shoreline you have to accept the seasonal nature of the trade.	Scaled down approach preferred. Seasonal nature of trade to be recognised.
Mr Will Robbins		51	I think you should not be so tough on cyclists and roller bladers. We want to encourage all people to keep fit and have fun. Maybe have certain evenings/periods when the promenade is open to wheels - for some kids its one of the few safe places to play. Get people/businesses to sponsor benches, works of art (ie people flock to see the waterclock at Southwold pier, Banksys sculpture on Brighton pier), palm trees etc. We need more attractions to get people (not just dog walkers) onto the promenade when the sun doesnt shine - which is most of the time. It's a bit grim and uninteresting otherwise.	Encourage everyone to the seafront, have times when promenade can be used by cyclists, rollerblades etc. Encourage out of season use with sponsorship of benches, art work and other attractions that are available in all weathers.
Mr Steve Loftus		7	I'd just like to make my personal view clear. I regularly walk my dogs on the beach during the winter and will object to any attempt to ban dog walking on the beach.	Keep dog walking on beach.
Mr James Layfield		36	If more beach huts are introduced will that bring the prices back to a normal level to make them affordable for local people. The prices of the current huts have gone up so much, or does the cow have to milked more for the council to lavish money on consultants and over engineered works. If the scheme makes it more accessible and affordable to people on reduced incomes it will be a plus.	If SPS provides a more accessible beach with more affordable facilities then it will be a plus.
Mr & Mrs Braham		121	If the council honestly want to hear local residents opinions then why was this public consultation run over the course of the summer holiday, not promoted at any local establishments or advertised to local residents. I only heard of this in the week of the deadline and when I enquired around my circle of contacts, none of them knew about this. Investing and improving Poole's seafront would be desirable, but this requires an approach that is considerate and truly sustainable and will not need to include massive property developments.	Massive property developments should not be included.
Mr Francis David Hudson		86	Improve the toilet facilities but generally leave well alone - allowing cars to park in echelon fashion is dangerous and would lead to accidents. Building along an unstable coast/shore line is foolish as Simpson's Folly at the end of Shore Road demonstrated - the Victorian Coast Engineers were wiser than we believe, as was proven when the groins were removed from Sandbanks Beach!!! - we should learn from them.	Echelon parking not favoured. Toilets need improving. Learn from past.
Mr Malcolm Harrison		60	Improve what is already here - don't change for changes sake. Residents and visitors choose to come to BDC for what it is now - quiet and beautiful - please do not turn it to an extension of noisy vulgar Bournemouth.	Improve but don't change.
Mr A J Slow		13	In my opinion it is essential that you maintain and if possible improve the Poole Seafront. I have two suggestions to make. Firstly, in view of the wealthy residents who now live on the Sandbanks Peninsula there ought to be various projects which could receive sponsorship. Secondly, the activity installations around Poole Park have proved to be a great success and similar installations would be placed at intervals along the seafront as an additional attraction. My wife informs me that the toilets at Shore Road need immediate improvement and perhaps a member of the Council could look into this matter immediately.	Seek sponsorship. Install gym installations along seafront (as in Poole Park). Shore Road toilets need improving.

Full Name	Organisation Details	Comment ID	Question 3 - Do you have any other comments regarding investment and improvement in Poole's seafront?	Key points
R Hayward		6	In your draft you do not appear to make any mention of people (and there are many) who walk their dogs on the beach in the winter months. Could you kindly outline your proposals on this matter and let me know.	Lacking information on dog walking.
Mr Philip Segelman		109	Install seafront showers	Showers.
Mr Richard Dimbleby		178	Investment should not be handed over to private businesses without adequate safeguarding of public access	Public access must be safeguarded.
Mr Brent Horder		128	Investment would be good but it has to consider all aspects and not just some ideas plucked out of tourists heads that do not know the area all year round. It sounds as though everyone has an idyll of american or mediterranean seaside towns that have the luxury of 12 months of sunshine. It simply will not work at Sandbanks. We also have to take into account the infrastructure and the road system - these will not cope with additional traffic - and there will be a significantly greater volume of traffic during the summer / holiday periods if more shops, bars and restaurants are provided. There is too much traffic there at present and it will be nearly impossible for traffic to flow easily, the ferry queue already prevents traffic from moving as it should due to the volume of cars. Emergency services will have difficulty accessing certain areas and public transport will not be able to run to schedule. Public transport will not be the answer to the problem. Where can a park and ride be situated? How can shop owners / business owners / club members get to their destination, especially if they have bulky goods to carry?	We need to work with changing weather, and poor road network which has limited capacity to cope with more traffic.
Miss Pat Ingram		135	It is a good idea to improve the facilities, but I really do not think that this does the job.	SPD not suitable.
Mr John Seabrook		124	It is essential to keep in public control all the land which is presently publicly-owned. If commercial interests wish to develop activities and services in the seafront area, they should do so through lease or licence, subject to normal planning controls and with the ultimate ownership of land remaining in public hands. The Council should seek to encourage essential or necessary improvements in partnership with commercial operators, but should never relinquish ownership of the land.	Council should keep overall control of publicly owned land.
Mr Andrew Reed		20	It is noted at that no reference is made what so ever to use of beaches by dog walkers. These form a significant proportion of beach users particularly through the low season months and all year round at Branksome/Branksome Dene Chine Areas and Sandbanks (Haven end). Any change of use must recognize the importance of dog walkers to the local economy (look at the number of dogs in the Jazz Cafe on a cold Sunday morning in November) as well has the health benefits through regular exercise. The proposed intensification of use of Branksome Dene Chine as a Sports beach, hotel accommodation and children's play area is likely to be incompatible with the current year round dog-friendly nature of this beach. I do welcome the idea of expanding the number, and enhancing the quality, of beach huts however am wary of future Council pricing strategies that may well put these beyond the reach of most residents	Dog walking should be maintained and new facilities kept affordable to residents.
Mr Bruce Jackson		89	Its important not to forget the needs of the taxpayers of the town - we are after all the people who pay for any improvements, and who use the beaches all year round. To this end we should not be striving to uprate the current beaches that do not have 'blue-flag' status if this means penalising those people who enjoy walking their dogs on the sands. There is already only very small provision year round, and given the percentage dog ownership in the UK (around 30% of households), it would be withdrawing a really great resource for a large section of the public, not to mention those people who choose to holiday in the UK because they wish to be with their pets.	Upgrade of beaches should not be at the cost of preventing dogs from using them as there is high demand from dog walkers.
Mrs J Prett		62	Keep the quality up; please do not erect anything more than 2 storeys and do not make it in the boring 'box' style that seems to be overtaking Poole currently. Leave the natural chines and wooded areas alone - apart from maintenance work on the cliffs so that they do not erode away into the sea. I think it a good idea to make better use of the pavilion at the main Sandbanks beach but not a building that has more than 1 or 2 floors - a view of the beach, dunes and sea is more important than some man-made structure. We are not Blackpool - Sandbanks has always been popular because it is more natural - and up market! Yes improve the catering and toilets - and perhaps make it a little more sophisticated to be in tune with the surrounding residential housing. The plan of a 'dwelling' on the cliff at Canford Cliffs sounds like a bad idea to me - it could be detrimental to the structure and safety of the cliffs - a very costly exercise.	Restrict height of new development to 2 storeys only. Improve catering, toilets, and Sandbanks pavilion. New cliff house at Canford Cliffs is not supported.

Full Name	Organisation Details	Comment ID	Question 3 - Do you have any other comments regarding investment and improvement in Poole's seafront?	Key points
Mr David Lack		63	Maintain and upgrade the facilities as they are and take some time to think again about the benefits to all of Poole residents. So many times we see fanciful, idealistic and grandiose dreams become a costly, underused burden. In the interim extend the successful dune planting for the longer term benefit of beach and sand retention. Keeping the areas natural beauty is the attraction. Development would destroy it for future generations.	Limit development, extend successful dune planting and natural areas.
Mr John Brameld		39	More car parking not less. If we require more hotel bedrooms why not redevelop the old Norfolk Lodge Hotel which is a crumbling wreck & it site is already to use?.	More parking not less, re-use existing vacant hotels.
Miss Christine Kenton		30	More outside eating to suit a range of tastes, combined with good lighting in the chines and along the promenade would encourage more use of the beach at night. More up to date toilets are a must! Also more seating along the promenade.	More varied outside eating places, more seating and good lighting on the prom to encourage night time use and update toilets.
Mr David Stapleton		38	Much is made of the public consultation/surveys - what proportion of respondents were local and when were these questions asked? It would be a curious decision making basis to vest power in visitors to an area that have no interest in long-term sustainability or viability or, quite possibly, any intention on returning? Does the council propose to ask residents only so that a balanced analysis can be undertaken? The idea of cycle hire facilities is a strange one when for so many of the peak days, cycling is prohibited between 10 and 6. A vision to maintain economic vitality and viability would be of great interest to local people but visitor numbers tend to rather closely follow the weather patterns so unless the council has got a contingency budget to buy in more sunshine, many of the proposed outlets would be just as desolate as the current retail units on Banks Road in off season/wet periods. The council could be more proactive in the use of the beach itself and could allow/grant licenses for "pop up" facilities on the beach during the summer months - a series of mini food courts that leave no ecological or environmental footprint. A water taxi from Poole quay is a good idea. The reduction of close on 20% in car parking spaces on the peninsula is one of the most staggeringly dim-witted ideas I have heard of. The harbour edge could be improved by the council stopping hoards of people using the footpath as a cycle lane and instead allowing ice cream vendors to make their way along when the sun was shining. I have not noticed any mention of flood impact assessments. The statement that "doing nothing is not an option" is heavily laden with irony as, by its own admission, it is the local authority, either as landowner or public servants and custodians of the Borough, who have done nothing and have failed to invest in the infrastructure. Simply, they should do so now.	Focus on local, not visitor. Cycle hire not appropriate where cycling restricted during busiest months. 'Pop - up' licenses for mini food courts, and water taxi, would be good ideas. Loss of parking not favoured. Shared paths with pedestrians and cyclists don't work along Harbour. Because council has failed to act in the past ironically it has to act now.
Rev David Butcher	Chairman Poole Harbour Watch	102	My major concern is regarding car parking. You state that there will be 100 less places in the car park at Sandbanks, there is no mention of how many road car park spaces will be lost. This flies in the face of recent comments by Eric Pickles. While he might have been talking about Town Centres, Sandbanks has to be considered as part of the Town Centre, or admitted that without that, Poole has no beaches. Many of my volunteers depend on the Sandbanks Car Park an inability to access that will prevent much of the work, of which the council seems to have little knowledge of. In addition, my understanding of the document seems to suggest that the closer to the beach (Sandbanks Car Park), the more expensive. As it is, the work of PHW is funded by individuals, at present to do a day's work on Harbour watch and parking there is expensive. Put the charge up more, it becomes increasingly difficult for members to continue the work.	Poole Harbour Watch depends on car park so volunteers may be lost with increase in charges or reduction in any provision.

Full Name	Organisation Details	Comment ID	Question 3 - Do you have any other comments regarding investment and improvement in Poole's seafront?	Key points
Mr Jason Falconer	Schools Officer for Bournemouth & Poole FERIA Sport	15	My second point is, page 15, "accessible by car., less so by other means", this caught my eye, as I agree with the sentiment. Some years ago John Grimshaw ex leader of the charity Sustrans came to the area, and said "you have got a centerparcs by the sea here", and he was referring to the access though chines to the beaches. We have the biggest potential for increasing active travel by bike/ walk/ rollerblade/ skateboard/ hoverboard in the South west. And specifically in this area (I am in dialogue with Bournemouth about this too) Branksome Chine. This chine down from St Aldhelms Rd, to the seafront easily contains enough space to create a brilliant cycling route, near to the station, what will be the 3 towns corridor, and worthy of massive promotion, for the many benefits. So, whilst "accessible by car, less so by other means" is mentioned there doesn't seem to be a follow up in rest of the draft. Whoever reads this - you know what Sandbanks road looks like during busy times - well imagine when the beaches are even better - the volume of vehicular traffic. I urge you to start dialogue with the cycling fraternity to find out what would allow more cycling, more often, more people. Really great draft plans, keep up the good work and lets look forward to seeing the upgrade of the best beaches in the world.	Need to discuss with the cycling fraternity to improve active travel at, to and along beaches.
Dr Alan Fisher		151	No	
Mrs Cary Wicks		163	No further comment, but just to re-iterate. Yes it is important to modernise and update the facilities we have and make any necessary improvements to match residents and visitors needs. This may mean creating new buildings or facilities, but a sensitive , (and coherent) approach needs to be taken to any changes made to the Poole seafronts. We mustn't end up losing our glorious natural landscape - which is the envy of so many people who visit our town and is the reason so many of them return to visit.	Provision of new buildings must not be at cost of natural landscape.
Mr David Wilson		78	No.	
Mr Albert Jackson		107	None other than those referred to in my statement.	
Mr Bruce Grant-Braham	Chairman Poole Tourism Management Board	186	One area of concern and frustration that the Board raised was towards the similar work also being undertaken by Bournemouth Council in reviewing their beaches strategy. There was clear comment that the two reviews needed to be undertaken in conjunction with each other and that any joint opportunities/synergies should have been fully explored. Whilst it was acknowledged that officers from both towns had met and discussed certain aspects, it was felt opportunities may have been missed as both pieces of work have been done independently of each other. I would also like to confirm to you that the Tourism Office has forwarded the link to this consultation document to all Poole Tourism Partners and asked them to submit their own comments direct.	Need to co-ordinate with similar work being undertaken by BBC for its seafront.
Mr Peter White		123	One of the "little gems" along our coastline is the Pine Cliff Gardens at Canford Cliffs. As a volunteer gardener there I would suggest that part of the Councils vision should be to take back the upkeep of this area and make it accessible to the disabled.	Improve accessibility to and upkeep of Pinecliff Gardens at Canford Cliffs.
Mr Brian Galpin		12	One of the simple improvements to the Beach scene is at the Borough Boundary with Bournemouth. Bournemouth has different views on access by cycle and here is a good place to place a "Welcome to Poole. This Promenade exists for all users. Please be responsible and courteous to other users. Cleanliness is important and is controlled by Poole Borough Council. Your nearest Beach Office is x miles/yards away and its phone number is....."	Improved signage advertising Poole at Borough boundary (Branksome Dene Chine).
Mr & Mrs Christopher & Ruth Orme		90	One or two sets of sturdy exercise equipment for adults positioned along the seafront as seen abroad (Marbella). Some imaginative and attractive mobile food stalls which could be brought in and opened at very short notice for busy good weather days, even in winter.	Install gym equipment on seafront. Introduce mobile food stalls.
Mr Dennis Pedley		81	People come from hundreds of miles to enjoy the beaches. Some upgrading is needed, but the greed of developers must be checked so that the area retains its beauty and will be a safe place for families to enjoy as it has done up to the present time!	Upgrading required without harm to existing beauty or safety.
Mr Clive Andrewes		37	Please develop its natural beauty and ecology	Develop natural beauty and ecology.
Miss Barbara Andrews		49	Please improve the area nicely, rather than using the opportunity to come up with 'way out' ideas such a 'pods' and tree huts. Alternatively we do not want flat roofed beach huts which look like cell blocks, as is currently the case. Why are there play areas planned, when children come to the beach? Play areas can be in other parts of town where there is no beach available. I am in total agreement that investment is needed to make the beach area more of a 'destination' all year. Cafes, restaurants and activities linked to the beach and sea. Not hotels and apartments.	Novel ideas are not supported. Flat roof beach huts should be avoided and play areas not needed at beach. Support all year destination but not in form of hotels and apartments.

Full Name	Organisation Details	Comment ID	Question 3 - Do you have any other comments regarding investment and improvement in Poole's seafront?	Key points
Miss Carol Maunder		3	Please keep the beaches open to dog walkers in the winter and the promenade open to cyclists.	Support to dog walkers and cyclists.
Mrs Hester Cribb		85	Please refer to the Act of Parliament which protects certain developments on the peninsula.	
Mrs Kathryn Jenkins		148	Please retain the area's natural charm and appeal. For much of the year the seafront is used by a significantly smaller proportion of people compared to the (short) summer season and the worst scenario would be to create a number of constructions which are empty, or become empty, during the off-season. Any high rise constructions will ruin the shorefront. As a sea user the Poole end of the shoreline is considerably more attractive than the built up Bournemouth part of the shoreline and it would be disasterous if this was changed.	Retain natural charm and appeal. No high rise or empty units.
Mr Graham Banyard		98	Poole sea front is great as it is. People come because it has everything. The only thing it needs is more parking don't make it more built up no one wants that. Maybe the council should have made the proposals a bit more public like some posters on the beaches so everyone could see what might happen to the beaches.	No change. More parking.
Mrs Lesley Stacey		29	Poole's seafront has a simple charm as it is now, why does it have to be changed?	No change.
Miss Emma Hann		26	Preserve the beautiful and historic buildings that already exist, support the eco systems and stop thinking that it is a way to make money. The seafront is not a profit making organisation for the council. It is our childrens heritage.	No change.
Mr Robert Lister		44	Pretty disgusted with most of the report, considering it cost £60,000 it did not look at improving access from Branksome Chine for Bikes, Scooters and Buggies.	Look at improved access at Branksome Chine.
Mr Nick Kendall		2	Prioritise the gentle redevelopment of all sites other than Shore Road. Carefully consider water supply issues.....carefully consider local environmental issues such as access, parking, noise, waste management, safety.... Please dont make changes for the sake of making changes.....	Gentle redevelopment and only where necessary.
Mr Mike Watts		45	Proposals should reflect more the character that is the beauty of Poole's sandy beaches, this character is more the style of a caribbean island. If proposals looked the seafront with that vision in mind then simple natural additions such as straw beach brollies brought onto the beaches in the tourist season and timber board walks would enhance. There is no requirement for more hotels as the existing hotels are not full, they already advertise on Groupon with cheap deals to try to fill rooms. The SPD states that the majority of visitors come by car and the Council already put up signs saying that there is parking congestion on Sandbanks so it is poor judgement and poor consideration of visitors needs to take parking spaces away. Street parking is not an acceptable alternative it makes the area look cluttered and introduces risk of injury and damage. Car travel is needed because a high proportion of visitors bring beach equipment that needs carrying and small children.	No more hotels and existing ones aren't full. More parking.
Miss Catherine Wall		140	Quality refreshment areas and updating of the beach huts. Also, the beach huts are no longer used by locals and are frequently unused which gives the impression that they are abandoned.	Update beach huts, provide quality refreshment areas.
Mr Geoff Allen		70	Recent changes to the road layout at the entrance to the Sandbanks car park have caused major problems. Although, cars are not supposed to queue in order to get into the car park, when there are spaces available, they sometimes have to queue. This causes considerable hold-ups for cars wishing to drive further onto the peninsula eg. to the ferry. Queues this summer have gone back as far as Canford Cliffs. If the road was returned to two lanes near the Sandbanks car park, much of the hold-ups would be avoided. Also, if the Council feels that the mini-golf area can be used for car parking under this plan, why not do that and avoid the having to do the other changes, which require selling/leasing off extremely valuable Council land. The Council would benefit from greatly increased parking revenues. One of the greatest benefits of having a beach hut at Sandbanks, for which there has been very long waiting lists, is to be able to sit in front of your beach hut with a view of the sea. These proposals will take that away. By making them parallel facing at 90 degrees to the sea, hardly any beach hut renters will be able to do this and demand will be severaly diminished. Why not design them so that they are in a shallow V, so that all beach huts face the sea. If you propose to make Shore Road suitable for active sports, you will need to improve the quality of the sand there, since it has far too many stones and shells in it. Incidentally, as the organiser of the Sandbanks Beach Volleyball Festival, Sandbanks is the only beach wide enough to take sufficient courts without having to take up a much longer stretch of beach.	Detrimental changes to road layout at Sandbanks should be rectified. Beach huts should face the sea. Quality of sand should be improved.

Full Name	Organisation Details	Comment ID	Question 3 - Do you have any other comments regarding investment and improvement in Poole's seafront?	Key points
Mr James Pride		171	Revenue can be generated from some additional beach huts where they do not impact on wildlife and other considerations. I agree that the Branksome Dean facilities need to be upgraded or rebuilt as a useful community centre.	Additional beach huts can generate revenue. Community room needs upgrading.
Mrs Rosemary Drayson		106	Sandbanks is unique and beautiful. Lets keep it that way. Please don't destroy the perfectly good beach huts, release more for annual rent. Are the council keeping them as they become empty to make way for commercial development?	Don't replace beach huts.
Mr Christopher Mort		169	See above.	
Mr Philip Crocker		129	Shore Road: Although the disabled parking bays are sub-standard, they are frequently fully used. The adjacent area, in combination with the Shore Road off-street car park, make 'dropping off' a practical proposition here, with easy access to the commencement of the promenade and the cafe. Loss of that car park, which is generally well-screened and unobtrusive, would be most undesirable. The proposals for apartments and private parking there make no mention of the underground pumping station, a vital part of the existing infrastructure. Water sports users: The west end of Sandbanks car park; Branksome Chine; and Branksome Dene Chine are popular for wind and kite surfing. Most enthusiasts own their equipment and transport it (usually in a van) to these locations, as do SUP (stand up paddleboard) users (which I do not think are even mentioned, despite being the largest growth area in British watersports). Thus adequate parking provision needs to be maintained close to these beaches. Branksome Dene Chine Community Centre: This is stated as 'not fit for purpose'. However, it provides a very well-used and popular venue at a reasonable price for users who are unlikely to be able to afford (or necessarily even want) to use an up-market hotel, as proposed there. Conclusion: Whilst I accept that change is necessary, and many of the proposals have considerable merit, this document appears to be overly biased towards justifying disposal of publicly-owned assets for the benefit of commercial interests, to the detriment of the general public's enjoyment of this beautiful coastline, particularly in relation to the points raised above.	More required, not less. No mention of stand up paddleboard users. Change needed but not at cost of Council disposing of its assets.
Miss Priscilla Timoney		92	Small improvements are needed. We do not need major construction.	No major construction.
Mr Mike Gardiner		156	So, we lose car parking. This will reduce the number of ordinary families going to the beach, not increase it. Yes, bright young things can cycle there or even take the bus, but a family, with all their beach 'stuff'? No. Boutique hotel? For families? No. Essentially, it seems you'd like to ensure that the only users of the beach are the young and the rich...and not from Poole. You really don't seem to like your own rate payers terribly much.	Loss of parking a concern and lack of provision for locals and families.
Mr Robert McNamara		21	Some form of strip lighting on steps that could be solar operated	Solar operated lights on steps.
Mr Frank Tanner		119	Stop the greedy housing projects and leave the Beach to the people to relax and play on and not to be part of a 'Miami' experience in Poole!!!	No housing projects.

Full Name	Organisation Details	Comment ID	Question 3 - Do you have any other comments regarding investment and improvement in Poole's seafront?	Key points
Mr & Mrs Barry & Allison King		104	<p>Summary: While recognising that the status quo is not an option and that improvement is needed to Poole's seafront, this draft document contains an alarming vision of large-scale development. If this vision is realised, it would destroy the unique attractiveness of this well-loved coastline . Some favourable comments It is sensible to have an overall strategic plan to ensure that improvements are a coherent match to users requirements. There is clearly need for substantial modernisation . This includes: upgrading of toilets and showers; lighting along the prom; updating of some of the uglier beach huts; improved disabled access; more seating. General critical comments The vision fails in its declared objective of conserving the beautiful natural environment. The cross-sectional diagrams reveal what would be nothing less than an urbanisation of a delightful and still largely natural coastline. What marks Poole (and Bournemouth) out from most seaside resorts is the fact the cliffs tumble to a beach that is not spoiled by road traffic or undue commercialisation. For example, the sylvan bowl of Branksome Dene Chine, the woodland by the sea, would be seriously compromised by the extent of the proposed construction. The desire to make the Shore Road section an area for young people would run the risk of creating a drinking hot spot, when the hallmark of Poole's beachfront is its family-friendly environment. In defining the aims of proposed development, the balance between tourists and residents is very one-sided. Most of the proposals seem geared to attracting more tourists without anything like enough regard to local residents, who are the most frequent users of the seafront as well as the local taxpayers who sustain it. Many people who have come to live here appreciate the tranquility and charm of the seafront as it is and do not want to turn it into some kind of high street for occasional visitors. The fact that 200,000 visitors can be found on the beach on a sunny day is testimony to large numbers of visitors appreciating what we currently have. On the proposals for Branksome Dene Chine: Being resident in this area, it is fitting to make particular comments on some of the proposals that affect it. As noted, the unique character of this area is its woodland beauty, which would be harmed irreparably by the extent of development proposed. The suggested hotel would spoil the sight line to the sea from the cliff top and other vantage points, substantially change the character of the beach environment and would require the demolition of an attractive 30s building (which could be enhanced without destruction, in the manner of the Branksome Beach Cafe. While there might be scope for more beach huts, allowing overnight stays would also change the character of the area and it has to be questioned whether there is the demand for an extensive increase in supply since even on the busiest days many of the existing stock are not in use. The tree walk is an exceedingly dangerous project given the age and fragility of the pine trees. A musical facility would be noisy for local residents and create a severe strain on the parking facilities. Already, there is considerable encroachment of tourist traffic into neighbouring roads on busy days, preventing access to driveways and creating gridlock on bus routes. Sadly, no mention is made of the overgrown state of the chine. Following the clearance of the rhododendrons some years ago, there were plans to keep the declivities open. This would be desirable given the nature reserve potential of this area. On the consultation process : This consultation makes reference to earlier involvement of a range of people, including residents and stakeholders. The extent of this research into peoples views and the balance of opinion discovered is not at all clear in the document. Instead, we have selective quotations that could be anything but representative of the general community and the document itself is presented with attractive photographs that make the whole thing look like a hard sell rather than a genuine consultation. Concluding remarks : The watchwords for improvements to the Poole seafront should be: modernisation to provide clean, up-to-date facilities conservation of this breathtaking, precious and much-loved area, without any large-scale commercial exploitation proper consideration of the opinions of residents, who have invested their lives and capital in an area that is truly precious to them. Supported by: 6 households on Cassell Ave, one household on Mountbatten Road 5 households on Branksome Dene Road and one household on Sandbourne Road.</p>	Recognised need for strategy and modernisation but not enough focus on conservation natural environment. Commercialisation would harm Shore Road and Branksome Dene Chine.
Dr Roger Turner		136	Targeted investment that is relevant and sensitive to the range of locations is what is required.	Relevant and sensitive targeted investment is required.

Full Name	Organisation Details	Comment ID	Question 3 - Do you have any other comments regarding investment and improvement in Poole's seafront?	Key points
Mr Robert Lister		16	The Chines could be enhanced and maintained to a greater degree. It would help parking if some of those hidden parking areas , like Beach Road were better signposted, it is not signed if coming from the Avenue. The response area does not allow for detailed replies to specific failings or positives, so IS COMPLETEY PONTLESS. This sadly, is just a box ticking exercise for BoP with no real feedback required or desired	Chines should be enhanced and maintained. Beach Road car park needs better signposting.
Miss Suzie New		58	The Council should tap in to the desire for people to have weddings in romantic and beautiful places. If there was a permanent wedding chapel on the seafront overlooking Old Harry Rocks, it would make a fortune. There should and could be an adjoining facility that can be used as a reception place with self catering facilities for people to do their own food and drink, or hire their own caterers.It would then be used all year round. Lifestyle centres, such as for people wanting retreats or places to do yoga etc in a natural environment are much more likely to generate income than the old usual suggestions for hotels etc. There should also be some facilities for people to sell arts and crafts/jewellery etc. along the beaches, in summer, such as at festivals. People love looking at that sort of stuff when they are at the beach.	Seafront wedding chapel and associated reception space would generate income. Lifestyle centres (e.g. yoga), summer festivals and the selling of arts and crafts would also generate money.
Mr Roy Knott		23	The emphasis should be on improving quality through tasteful and moderate improvements rather than major developments that, whilst possibly satisfying popular demand, may not stand the test of time.	Tasteful and moderate improvements rather than major developments.
Mr Robert Lister		40	The income from Beach Huts is £1.175 million per year, but none of this is ring fenced, most goes to pay for welfare, education etc. But really this income should be ring fenced to provide more facilities on the beach, like decent toilets, showers all along the promenade not just the 3-4 we have now!	Beach hut revenue should be fed back to seafront upkeep.
Miss Hilary Cole		176	The natural beauty of the Poole coastline, from the dunes of Sandbanks to the cliffs and woodland of the Branksome Chines, is what attracts locals and visitors to the seafront. Proposals to construct catering kiosks projecting over the sand would destroy the visual amenity of the uninterrupted sweep of the beach. Given the pressure on parking, public parking spaces should be retained, not reduced. However, I cannot see how visitor numbers will significantly increase without implementing some of the constructive proposals suggested in the SPD which looked at improving access by improved bus linkages, cycle routes from BR stations, and a beach-front transport.	Focus on retaining visual amenity and improving transport links and sustainable modes.
Mr Alan Trickett		150	The plan is totally out of control, over the top and will destroy all that we know and love in Poole. This is just an invitation to bring big business into Poole at the expense of the local population. They do not need more hotels, beach chalets where people can sleep overnight, more entertainment , more expensive cafes, less car parking spaces close to the beach etc. What they do need is clean modern toilets, disabled access to the sands, ( even the National Trust at Studland do provide that, Poole does not). Only last week I was at Shore Road and a family with a severely disabled son in a wheelchair could not access the sands because no wheelchairs which go onto the sand are available. I advised them to go to Studland .Charged street parking around the ferry area will destroy the tourist trade to one of Poole's jewels Brownsea Island because visitors, island staff and locals alike will not be able to afford to pay for parking , plus the boat fare and the entrance fee. This plan is seriously floored on almost every page.	More hotels, beach chalets and entertainment are not required. More parking and reasonable parking charges. Tourists will be lost to other destinations.
Mrs I G Cotterell		225	The proposals in the Draft SPD should be abandoned and the Council should engage in a genuine consultation with the Borough's residents regarding the management of its assets. While consigning the Draft SPD to history, the Council should also set out in detail the budgeted receipts and returns it had anticipated from the development proposals suggested. Once this information is public, residents will be more assured that the Council is not motivated by a hidden commercial agenda, but is instead committed to serving the whole Borough's best interests and preserving the seafront, not damaging it.	Abandon DPD. Publish receipts.

Full Name	Organisation Details	Comment ID	Question 3 - Do you have any other comments regarding investment and improvement in Poole's seafront?	Key points
Mrs Suzanna Harris		127	The proposals should seek to retain and improve, not damage what is attractive. Why not ask beach visitors what they enjoy about this particular beach ? I feel consultation has been utterly inadequate. Large posters showing proposals for each area should have been displayed along the promenade and in the chines. People have not known about this, even local residents and regular visitors. This is going to affect everyone and should have been widely publicised. An email and postal address for comments should have been provided. I live in Hammersmith but have visited Sandbanks every year for 65 years, and spent many months recently at 29 Chaddesley Glen, enjoying and marvelling at the views, as did many guests. The majority of these proposals will damage those views, which are so beneficial to visitors. It seems more of a property developers scheme than anything to improve or sustain what belongs to all of us. I ask you please to scrap your plans, consult properly, heed the views of residents and visitors, and start again.	Abandon DPD. Property development scheme that will destroy views.
Miss Lindsay Etherington		25	The seafront development at Southsea included increased sea-road parking, which has not only blotted out the view of the sea itself, but increased danger to pedestrian by its extraordinarily approach. Please consider this before putting more car parking along the harbour road One final comment - if the weather is good, people flock to the seaside. If it is not, no amount of 'facilities' will address that. Why not let people go to other parts of the Poole area when the weather is inclement - there are enough facilities there. Once this special area is destroyed with these plans, there is no going back. Please leave as much of the natural beauty visible to the people of Poole and for visitors like us. We do not all want buildings everywhere despite what the developers tell us	Learn from mistakes of sea road parking at Southsea. Don't plan for bad weather, allow people to go elsewhere.
Mrs Karen Reed		54	The seafront is a public amenity. Funding for improvements should not be derived by selling off public facilities for private profit.	Seafront is public amenity so don't sell off for private profit.
Mr William Keats		134	The seafront must not be overdeveloped - "less is more" as people are drawn to the natural beauty.	Less development is better.
Mr Geoffrey Fairman		52	The suggestion of a hotel at Branksome Dene is not good. It could ruin one of the best picturesque chines in Poole. Also loss of car park spaces for Hotel guests would be detrimental to current Chine users and a loss of income to the Council.	Hotel and loss of parking not a good idea at Branksome Dene Chine.
Mr Edward Wilton		161	The 'Tourism, Branding, Marketing and Events' (as outlined in section 5.0 - pg.27 of the SPD Framework) is a total misrepresentation of the the current demographic of beach goers. For example to 'brand' Shore Road as 'The Social Beach' is totally wide of the mark. The SPD states "it has a trendy, urban feel" (pg. 17). As a local resident of Chaddesley Wood Road I can categorically state that this is marketing gone mad! The charm of this section of beach is that it attracts families, in part thanks to the well located car park on Shore Road. I believe it would be to the detriment of tourism to the area and this particular spot on the beach if it were to become over developed and the marketing hype made a reality. This is quite simply not 'urban' and should never be made to feel that way. Finally, I am supportive of change if its well conceived and sustainable and not simply a clear way of increasing revenue streams at a cost to everything else. It's crucial that the current character, charm and natural beauty are preserved so that visitors continue to come and spend their holiday's/money in our local economy.	Harm to tourism - branding is not accurate.
Mr David Wikramaratna		74	The waiting lists for beach huts are extremely long, and bin provision very scarce on the front. Further efforts to enable access for the disabled, and cyclists, electric car charging points and provision of small lockers to store items would all further enhance the beaches without discouraging day trippers who may otherwise visit other beaches instead. More hotels are not the answer!	No to hotels. Need lockers, better provision for cyclists, the disabled, electric car charging points, bins on seafront.
Miss Katie Dominey		57	The whole character of the Chine will dramatically change if the proposals (particularly the hotel and Go Ape) are enacted. The Chine will no longer be seen as a pleasant alternative to the bustle of Bournemouth Beach and irreparable damage will be inflicted on the local residents, community and wildlife.	No change at Branksome Dene.
Mr John Russell		118	There has always been a problem with waiting lists for Poole beach huts, but I note from your document that whilst you are planning for additional beach huts/chalets, you are also planning to demolish some existing ones! The Community Centre is a much used building, perhaps updating this building along with the toilet facilities could be an option.	Community room and toilets needs updating.

Full Name	Organisation Details	Comment ID	Question 3 - Do you have any other comments regarding investment and improvement in Poole's seafront?	Key points
Mr Henry South		64	There is a need to upgrade the facilities due mainly to the poor condition due to age and use but the planned changes to the use of the beaches appears to be with the intention of raising revenue and not to the improvement of those facilities. I would suggest the council look at the Boscombe surf reef project which has been a planning and financial disaster for Bournemouth.	Learn from Bournemouth Reef disaster.
Mr Richard Samuel		73	There is no doubt that wise investment could help to improve the whole Seafront, but suggest that the objective should be to offer good choices, and not just try to exploit any commercial opportunities regardless of the environmental consequences.	Investment but not exploiting commercial opportunities at the cost of environment.
Mr Jack Crewe		125	There is talk about creating more street parking. The double yellow lines around Canford Cliffs should be reduced and in so doing, another 20 places could be created without any inconvenience at all. This does not need a 25 year plan to implement, just a little more consideration in existing lineage.	Reduce parking restrictions in Canford Cliffs to create more parking.
Miss Ann Simmons		170	There needs to be careful thought before putting any such plan into place. It appears that Poole would want developers and entrepreneurs to invest but they do that only for more than adequate return and they don't necessarily provide what they promise (Bournemouth surf reef!??). The plan is too far reaching and could be taken out of council control too easily. Poole is a wonderful place. Don't spoil it!	Developers do not always deliver the intended outcome or an adequate return.
Mr David Segal		35	Think more laterally how to raise funds from visitors to pay for it all. Not just parking rates and high business rates. A daily congestion charge of £5 Easter and July August for all vehicles not registered in Dorset or Hampshire. etc etc. Plenty more ideas !!	A daily congestion charge for visitors only to raise revenue.
Mrs Julie-Anne Ganner		91	This all seems to be very plausible on paper but the impact on the environment will be drastic. I'd like to know what you'll do with the lizards that are plentiful around the beaches and beach hut areas - especially Branksome Dene Chine.	Plausible on paper but drastic on environment in practical terms.
Mr Martin Heath		175	Timescales for the various improvements should be included in the SPD, with priority given to upgrading the existing Council owned buildings, particularly the toilets. Loss of carpark space is likely to be counter-productive in terms of visitor numbers and visitor satisfaction. Local bus services cannot cope with the demand in good weather - the 50 often fills up before it has even left Bournemouth with over-60s travelling to Studland and Swanage. The operators of school buses may be able to run extra services in the holidays, but who will bear the cost of running empty buses when the weather is bad?	Delivery timescales should be included, with upgrade of Council buildings the priority. Loss of parking is counter productive and bus provision needs investigation.
Mrs Irene Pemberton		56	Try bringing more public transport to the beaches.	More public transport to beaches.
Mr Mark Staveacre		207	We decided to go to Branksome Chine for a walk. The main seafront car park was full, I knew there was additional parking across the road, as I had used it many years ago. AMAZEMENT there was not a single signpost, on any road, to this car park, except a small directional arrow sign, hidden in the bushes, by the car park exit. Every road has cars jamming every space, people on the beach complaining about lack of parking, and a huge virtually empty car park hidden in the trees!! Perhaps you could bring this to the attention of the person concerned.	Beach Road car park needs signposting.
Mr John Sprackling		166	We endorse the proposals for new beach huts and believe that the additional revenue generated from these should be sufficient, over time, to cover the costs of the infrastructure improvements.. Members are concerned about the over-development of Sandbanks Recreation Ground, the 'Landmark Building' at Shore Road and the proposals for Branksome Dene Chine. Concern has also been expressed that the Council is silent when it comes to the financial viability of any of the schemes. There is a general concern amongst members about the lack of publicity for this consultation.	New beach huts favoured and should fund improvements required. Financial viability is unknown to public and certain aspects are overdevelopment.
Mrs Joanna Walker		97	What is the time scale to achieve this plan. What type of restaurants and shops. As a parent of 3, I really appreciate the enjoyment of the beach as a non commercial environment. Please leave some of the beach as it is meant to be used...with buckets spades and an ice cream!	Keep some beach as a non-commercial environment.

Full Name	Organisation Details	Comment ID	Question 3 - Do you have any other comments regarding investment and improvement in Poole's seafront?	Key points
Mrs Patti Hegarty		105	What makes Poole beaches different to Bournemouth is the lack of built up commercial outlets - You only have to walk along the promenade towards Bournemouth to notice the difference as you get closer and leave Poole beaches behind. It's noisier, busier, the promenade gets congested by beach hut users, children on scooters, skateboards etc. The smells in the air from the Harvester and eateries from Alum Chine onwards is not a good one - hot oil and fried food. I do not want this for Poole beaches. I do not want a decked over the sand al fresco eating area at Canford Cliffs beach. I don't see these as improvements but Poole beaches being turned into another over developed area like Bournemouth seafront. The beaches and chines at Poole are very special. Improvements to consider would be to provide hoppla buses from the current car parking areas to drop off along the beaches. Much like the airport shuttle buses collect and drop off from the car parks. This avoids the issues of trying to create more parking along Banks Road with the echelon systems which would be dangerous on this road. Do not do anything to spoil the vista and view of the fantastic stretch of beaches on Poole Bay - Dune hotels and multistorey buildings do not improve the area or seafront. Enhance the natural beauty but don't overdevelop under the pretence of sustaining it.	Don't over-commercialise (like Bournemouth). Hoppla buses dropping off at beaches would help with reduced parking.
Miss Claire Harris		53	What the sea front needs is not hotels, more beach huts, restaurants, noisy performance facilities etc. It simply needs the existing facilities to be upgraded and properly maintained. As a Branksome Dene resident I particularly find the plans for this area staggeringly stupid and totally unwanted. It is impossible to see how you will get a tree top activity feature, larger community space, hotel, more car parking, beach studios, performance area etc in this small area. Like Bournemouth's IMAX and surf reef, it will be a disaster. I could go on to comment on what is wrong for each individual area, but my comments do apply to the whole plan. I would suggest the Council review its current plans, take a more realistic view, with a focus on how to keep this lovely area beautiful and unspoilt and not on how to make as much money out of over commercialisation. Then this revised plan be properly advertised so everyone in the area is aware and can express their views. More consideration should be given to the needs of residents who fund the Council, than simply how to make money out of tourists.	Upgrading and maintenance without over-commercialisation.
Mr David Wicks		162	Where is the costing for this? What assumptions have been made regarding the money which could be garnered from selling off the rights to develop these facilities. The plan is difficult to judge without this information. There needs to be modest growth in facilities to generate finance to make the necessary improvements to infrastructure and improve aspects such as toilet provision. This plan seems way over the top. I may see this as too much noise and commotion in what is currently a peaceful place. You may disagree. But such a massive change would give no scope to pause for reflection part-way and see who is right. Please also try to find a safe compromise that accommodates walkers, cyclists and children in safety. There seems to be no mention of this issue (or have I missed it?).	Plan lacks costings. Modest growth required to generate finance, currently over the top.
Mr Guy Berridge		10	Whilst I agree with many of the suggestions in the consultative document I was absolutely horrified to read P.50 which proposes a 2 storey "carbuncle" which will completely obliterate the views from my house, 2 Chaddesley Wood Rd. I would fight this flagrant injustice to the bitter end and I would be glad to receive a reassurance from you that Poole Council is not seriously contemplating this. This would render our treasured home virtually worthless and destroy the views we cherish. Your report states "Shore rd has the most urban context...as such it could be marked in a suitably bold and significant way". The erection of a cafe /restaurant /bar (already exists as Jazzys), with beach facilities and visitor centre is a blot on the landscape. Yes, its not hard to improve on the toilet block because that is an eyesore but please don't pick on one family in the street to ruin their lives. You cannot simply add on an extra storey - there is no precedent for this.	Shore Road development would be a 2 storey carbuncle with no justification for additional storey.
Mrs Fiona Marlow		31	Whilst saying you want to maintain the character of the area, your plans do not support this. Your suggestions for Shore road and Branksome Dene Chine are outrageous and would totally ruin the present character of these fabulous beaches. Put in more benches and better toilet facilities, other than that, save the millions that these proposals would cost. More police, money for hospitals, schools etc etc surely must come first. I cannot see how any extra revenue generated by the proposals could match, let alone exceed, the expenditure needed! You say doing nothing is not an option, so I suggest the option of doing the minimum and keeping our beaches unique and beautiful.	Plans do not maintain current character. Do minimum.

Full Name	Organisation Details	Comment ID	Question 3 - Do you have any other comments regarding investment and improvement in Poole's seafront?	Key points
Mrs Alison Fulford		120	Why has this not been more public knowledge ? The beach is a lovely place to visit whatever the weather! That's the beauty of it as it is now, we don't need a load of cafes, shops and hotels to enjoy what it has to offer us.	Cafes, hotels and shops not wanted.
Mr Christopher Goldthorpe		143	Why not adopt the air festival strategy or major city strategy ideal of "park and drive" rather than "improving" car parking facilities and making the traffic congestion even worse than it is?	Park and drive.
Miss Jacqueline James-Bryan		126	Yes - I think the proposals for Canford Cliffs are totally insane. Why spoil this lovely area with live in chalets and double tier beach huts? This sounds like a money grabbing exercise and it would ruin Canford Cliffs. I hate the double tier huts - they make beaches look overcrowded and too built up. The beaches should be kept as natural as possible - ie a minimum of new build.	Double tier beach huts look overcrowded, so do minimum new build.
Mrs Joy		96	Yes - More cafe/restaurants could be built. Build more Beach huts facing the Sea. Release most short term let Beach huts to long term lets. Allow a larger percentage of long term let rents to be retained for investment back into the sea front. A two storey car park could be built at Sandbanks to enable more parking. Totally upgrade the Toilets, drains and Shower facilities, money to come from the solutions identified in the above paragraph. Do not allow private hire of Sandbanks Beach in the peak Holiday Season. Do not allow Barbecues on the Beach or promenade as they are a Health and Safety Hazard. Provide more Beach wardens in order to police the Beaches more effectively.	More cafes, restaurants and beach huts and current facilities upgrade. More beach wardens.
Mrs Jennifer Saunders		114	Yes it might be nice to have more toilets, but at what cost, we already have to suffer the polo every year which is supposed to be reinvested in the beach huts I have yet to see. I just feel that the local people will be priced out of our greatest asset. You only have to look at the quay to see what a mess they have made of that.	Local people will be priced out of local asset.
Mrs Xena Dion		1	Yes, don't get hijacked by the views of strong minded people who may form a minority. Our seafronts are for the future people of Poole and investments and changes have to be made to safeguard them, there is a good balance to be had between development and sensitivity to the unique natural environment.	Investments and change need to be made to safeguard seafront, need good balance between new development and sensitivity to natural environment.
Mr Thorwald Jensen		101	Yes, move forward and be " SIMPLY THE BEST "	Move forward to be the best.
Mrs Tracey Bethell		43	Yes, the enrichment of cycling facilities and newspaper outlets would greatly enhance the enjoyment and ease of family trips to the seafront. However, the moment hotels and restaurants arrive, not only is character and peacefulness impacted negatively, but it is only common sense that dog walking restrictions will follow. As a responsible dog owning family the ability to exercise our dog on the beach is extremely important to us and I speak on behalf of many local families who feel the same way.	Cycling, dog walking and newspaper outlets are supported but not hotels and restaurants.
Mr Ronald Stracey		152	Yes. Conduct a poll to see whether residents would be prepared to see a small increase on the rates,specifically earmarked for the infrastructure improvements.Paddy Ashdown once suggested putting a penny on basic rate tax, guaranteed to be spent on education. This was thought to be an excellent idea.	Canvas opinion on small increase in rates to fund infrastructure improvements.
Mrs Lynn Allen		66	Yes. Release more beach huts for annual rent. This will bring in more annual cash. Making the beaches dog free would be a great step. You could make the Branksome Dene Chine building into a cafe.	More beach huts for annual rent. Make beaches dog free. Turn Branksome Dene building into a café.