

Representation Form for the Borough of Poole's Core Strategy



**CORE STRATEGY
Development Plan Document (DPD)
Submission Stage Representation Form**

Ref:

(For official use only)

Name of the DPD to which this representation relates:

Core Strategy

1. Personal Details

Title

First Name

Last Name

Job Title
(where relevant)

Organisation
(where relevant)

Address Line 1

Line 2

Line 3

Line 4

Post Code

Telephone Number

E-mail Address
(where relevant)

2. Agents Details (if applicable)

3. Did you raise the matter that is the subject of your representation with the LPA earlier in the process of the preparation of the Core Strategy, i.e. before it was submitted for examination?

No Yes (at issues and alternative options stage) Yes (at preferred options stage)

If 'No', can you briefly explain why you did not do so:

4(a). Do you consider the Core Strategy is :

Sound¹

Go to Qu.5
(ie you
support the
Core
Strategy)

Unsound

Go to Qu.4.(b)
(ie you
consider the
Core Strategy
should be
changed)

4(b). If you consider the Core Strategy is unsound, please identify which test of soundness your representation relates to (having regard to test numbers 1-9 listed in the Annex). Please note you should complete a separate form for each test you consider the development plan document fails.

Soundness

Test no.
(see Annex)

5. To which part of the Core Strategy does your representation relate?

Paragraph

Figure 6.4

Policy

PCS 11

Proposals
Map

6. Please give details of what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Core Strategy sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 4. above. You will need to say why this change will make the Core Strategy sound. Please be as precise as possible.

Figure 6.4 is misleading and needs to be more clearly defined in order for appropriate mechanisms to be implemented to monitor the Town Centre Area in the future, this will be difficult to achieve if those sites included in this area are not clearly detailed. For example only parts of Cobb's Quay is included within the Town Centre; the redline in figure 6.4 covers part of the pontoon area, but not the whole site. Cobb's Quay should be fully included in the Town Centre as it is an important leisure site which contributes to the economy and provides activities to add to the leisure and tourism offer available in Poole.

The plan should be redrawn to carefully consider which sites should be included within the Town Centre area in order to contribute towards the aims of revitalising the Town Centre (Policy PSC11). The figure currently includes a oval shape to define the Town Centre, which cuts across sites. Another area of confusion concerning figure 6.4 is the tittles and labels. Figure 6.4 is titled Poole Town Centre, however the red line is label Poole Central Area. The title and labels need to be clearly identified.

(Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)

7. Can your representation seeking a change be considered by written representations or do you consider it necessary to attend the Examination?

Written Representations

Attend Examination

8. If you wish to attend the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

The nature of our representation is strategic and has key implications.

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to attend the Examination.

Signature:

Savills

Date:

07/07/08

¹ Sound may be considered in this context within its ordinary meaning of "showing good judgement" and "able to be trusted" and within the context of fulfilling the expectations of legislation.

Annex : Failure to meet a Soundness Test	
1	It has not been prepared in accordance with the authority's Local Development Scheme (LDS).
2	A It has not been prepared in compliance with the Statement of Community involvement (SCI).
	B Where no SCI exists, it has not been prepared in accordance with the minimum requirements of the Town and Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2004.
3	The plan and its policies have not been subject to sustainability appraisal.
4	A It is not a spatial plan, or it has not properly had regard to any other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or to adjoining areas.
	B It is inconsistent with national planning policy.
	C It is not in general conformity with the regional spatial strategy (or spatial development strategy in London).
5	It does not have regard to the authority's community strategy.
6	The strategies/policies/allocations in the plan are not coherent and consistent within and between Development Plan Documents (DPDs) prepared by the authority and by neighbouring authorities, where cross boundary issues are relevant.
7	The strategies/policies/allocations fail to represent the most appropriate in all the circumstances, having considered the relevant alternatives, and they are not founded on a robust and credible evidence base.
8	There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.
9	The plan is not reasonably flexible to enable it to deal with changing circumstances.